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Introduction

Becoming as an integral part of states’ national security, energy security has been an issue of international relations. Intensive economic and trade relations in the world after 1960’s have deepened energy interdependence between countries that hold the fossil energy resources and lack of them. Increasing production volumes has grown the energy demand of developed Western economies particularly. 1973 oil crisis was a political, economic, commercial also academic breakpoint of afore mentioned interconnection. Thus, from 1973, as one of the non-traditional subjects of security studies, energy security mainly focuses on the interdependent relations of the Western countries with developed economies and fossil energy-rich countries with poor economies. However, during past years the perception of energy security has been changed. While it has been accepted simply as a cheap oil supply at stable conditions before the 2000s, new dimensions have been emerged after 2000’s such as geopolitics, environment, climate change, renewability, sustainability as well as social safety.
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However, secure energy supply and secure energy demand form the core of all these perceptions whereas the transportation is emerging as the need for secure overlap these two edges. That is why the oil and natural gas pipelines are not merely related to international trade. They connect to issues of geopolitics and international security as well as huge amounts of investments, routes and safety. On the other hand, considering the energy-rich countries’ geographical location and unstable political situation across the pipeline routes and the long distances to regions who’s economies highly depend to the oil and gas from that geographies, it is not easy to place correctly all pieces of “pipeline puzzles”. Thus, “access to raw materials, especially access to energy is a top priority of international political relations. The ability to obtain these essential commodities is no longer subject to the traditional colonial relations or military protection, but depends on geographical factors and the political decision-making of governments on the basis of different political conditions. The country having control over the resources will control those who rely on the resources, which will lead to a profound transformation of international relations” (Conant, M., & Gold, F., 1978: 3). According to Masuda (2007:2), “a cross-border pipeline is more secure than people may think. Most of newly built pipelines are buried in the ground, and they may not be attractive targets of terrorism. The difficulty of a cross-border pipeline exists in its geopolitical complexity. It is not only oil or natural gas but political messages that flow through a pipeline”.

In the light of above stated arguments, the EU - a largest economy of the world - is looking for alternative secure fossil energy suppliers not only to decrease its dependency on Russian natural gas, but also to guarantee its increasing energy demands in the future. SGC is the one most discussed options for the EU nowadays. The aim of this empirical research is to investigate how much possible the transportation of Turkmenistan’s natural gas via SGC, reviewing Turkmenistan’s potential energy supply opportunities, and what is the benefit of the Southern Caucasus region from connection of Turkmen natural gas to SGC.

**Turkmenistan as an Energy Supplier**

While a share of proved oil reserves of Turkmenistan is less than 0.05% of total in the world, it is a fourth largest holder of natural gas resources after Russia, Iran and Qatar (Table 1). Despite its large volumes of oil and natural gas reserves, a poor infrastructure limits Turkmenistan’s exporting opportunities. Thus, the country is not a major player in energy markets. Past years Turkmen government has been increasing investment to develop its reserves and export more natural gas to countries such as China.

The Law of Turkmenistan "On Hydrocarbon Resources," also known as the Petroleum Law of Turkmenistan was adopted on 20 November 2008 with the
Decree of the President of Turkmenistan No. 208-III dated 20 November 2008. Present edition of the Petroleum Law reflects all amendments, addendums and omissions as of 1 March 2017. The state-owned Turkmenneft - the largest oil producer in the country, and Turkmengaz - the state-run natural gas company and Turkmengeologiya - the state-owned company for geological exploration are the business monopolies that foreign companies should be a partner with them for participation in Turkmenistan’s oil and gas sector.

Table 1. Total Proved Oil and Natural Gas Reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>Thousand million barrels</td>
<td>Thousand million barrels</td>
<td>Thousand million barrels</td>
<td>Thousand million barrels</td>
<td>Thousand million tonnes</td>
<td>Share in total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Less than 0.05 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>Trillion cubic metres</td>
<td>Trillion cubic metres</td>
<td>Trillion cubic metres</td>
<td>Trillion cubic metres</td>
<td>Trillion cubic feet</td>
<td>Share in total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>688.1</td>
<td>10.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Foreign partnerships often take the form of production-sharing agreements (PSA) or joint activities agreements (JAA) or as permitted by Article 23 of the Petroleum Law, a combination of these two types of agreement, as well as other kinds of agreements suited to the specific situation (Hines & Varanese, 2001) and are generally for offshore resources. China’s CNPC is the only foreign company that has an onshore natural gas PSA with Turkmenistan.

As the energy resources are the main source of budget revenue, there is an increasing trend in oil and natural gas production of Turkmenistan generally (Table 2 and Table 3). Turkmenistan has two oil refineries, the Seidi and Turkmenbash, with a total crude oil distillation capacity of almost 237,000 b/d (EIA, 2016). The country has a small domestic crude oil pipeline network linking onshore oil fields with the Turkmenbash refinery and Caspian ports. Turkmenistan has virtually no international oil pipeline infrastructure except a pipeline between the Seidi refinery in northeastern Turkmenistan and the Shymkent refinery in Kazakhstan via Uzbekistan.
## Table 2. Oil Production (Thousand barrels per day)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** BP Statistical Review of World Energy 2018.

The Galkynysh natural gas field is the world’s second largest gas field with proven reserves of 13.1 tcm, 74% of total proven gas reserves of Turkmenistan. In September 2013, Turkmenistan announced the start of commercial production at Galkynysh. The first phase of development started in 2013 with production reaching roughly 1 Tcf at full capacity. The second phase introduced in 2014 is following the same plan as phase one. Phase three was planning to add over 1.2 Tcf of natural gas production from the Galkynysh field. The Turkmen Energy Ministry plans to produce about 3.3 Tcf/year from the Galkynysh field when all three phases are completed. Most of the country’s proven gas resources are in the Amu-Darya basin, however, most of its proven oil resources are in the Caspina basin (Mammadov, 2015). In 2015, two new fields, Garakel and Bagli, were discovered near the Galkynysh field. These fields are estimated to hold 42 Tcf of recoverable resources according to the Ministry of Oil and Gas of Turkmenistan (EIA, 2016).

## Table 3. Natural Gas Production (Billion cubic metres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** BP Statistical Review of World Energy 2018.

In 2017 the Turkmen government has launched the socio-economic development programme 2018-2024 that anticipates to increase oil and natural gas production, signing a series of investment agreements with foreign countries for construction of new facilities.

However, transportation of produced fossil fuels to the world markets is a serious handicap for Turkmenistan as a landlocked country. In the early post-Soviet era, Turkmenistan used the old Soviet gas pipelines to export its gas, mostly to Ukraine and Russia. Russian- Ukrainian gas crisis in 2006 has pushed Turkmenistan to maneuver faster and to sign an agreement with Iran and China on the pipeline projects. In additional to existing one that has completed in 1997, a second pipeline to Iran has launched in early 2010. A pipeline transporting natural gas from Turkmenistan to China was formally inaugurated in December 2009 what has made China Turkmenistan’s main gas buyer. According to the
reached agreement between two countries in 2006, an export of 30 bcm/year to China has been assumed. While next agreement dated 2011 has proposed the goal of increasing the volume to 40 bcm/year by 2015, in June 2012, officials of two states agreed to increase the amount of gas exports to 65 bcm/year by 2020 (Aminjonov 2018: 68).

The mentioned agreements with China have an extreme importance for Turkmen economy, considering its geographical location with limited access opportunities to the world markets and need for sustainable income. For instance: natural gas supply to China has consisted more than 80% of Turkmenistan’s total export in 2017 (European Commission, 2018). However, as one of the largest economies of the world with $11 trillion, or 14.8% of the share in total (The World Bank, 2018), acting rationally, China has secured alternative gas sources to meet its needs, mainly from Russia. Under these circumstances Turkmen officials have focused on the Turkmenistan-Afghanistan-Pakistan-India or Trans-Afghanistan (TAPI) pipeline project was inaugurated by leaders of four countries in January 2016 and Trans-Caspian pipeline (TCP) which is anticipated as a underwater connection. Thus, two main reasons force the Turkmen officials to seek alternative customers for today: first, as a holder of great amounts of virgin natural gas resources, a request to be the Eurasia’s leading supplier and second, as a main demander of Turkmen gas, China’s initiatives to diversify its suppliers.

**An Importance of Turkmen Natural Gas for Southern Caucasus**

Successful operation of Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan oil pipeline has paved the way for new pipeline projects in the Caspian basin, as a result of productive triangle cooperation between Azerbaijan, Turkey and Georgia that supported by the energy-dependent Western countries. In addition to Baku-Tbilisi-Erzurum natural gas pipeline, the Trans Anatolian Natural Gas Pipeline (TANAP) has started to operate at the end of June 2018. This project is the central and longest part of SGC, which aims to connect the giant Shah Deniz gas field in Azerbaijan to Europe through the South Caucasus Pipeline (SCP), TANAP, and the Trans Adriatic Pipeline (TAP). Since the project has came up, both Turkish and Azerbaijani officials have often made statements about the possibilities of transportation of gas from other regions by the SGC, including Iraq, Eastern Mediterranean, Turkmenistan, despite the fact that it was designed to transport natural gas from Azerbaijan. On the other hand, as a key part of its energy policy, the EU actions for expanding the SGC include:

- keeping the infrastructure projects needed for the Corridor on the EU’s list of Projects of Common Interest. These are projects which can benefit from streamlined permitting process, receive preferential regulatory treatment, and are eligible to apply for EU funding from the Connecting Europe Facility;
• cooperating closely with gas suppliers in the region including Azerbaijan, Iraq and Turkmenistan;
• cooperating closely with transit countries including Azerbaijan, Georgia and Turkey;
• negotiating with Azerbaijan and Turkmenistan on a TCP pipeline to transport gas across the Caspian Sea.

While Turkmenistan looks for new and secure customers for its fossil resources in order to obtain uninterrupted energy revenues, as one of the most energy consuming economies in the world, the EU aims to diversify its reliable suppliers, ensuring its energy security. Thus, a need for secure energy demand and secure energy supply bring together the EU and Turkmenistan. However, despite the mutual demand for each other, as it is pointed before, an accessibility of Turkmen gas to the world energy markets is a serious obstacle.

In this context, uncertainty about the legal status of Caspian Sea was a serious precondition for carrying of Turkmen gas by SGC. However, on August 2018 the leaders of Caspian littoral states signed the Convention on the Legal Status of the Caspian Sea, which establishes the legal framework for the sharing of the Caspian Sea and its resources. One of the key features of the Convention is that the construction of underwater pipelines does not require parties’ unanimity. A party proposing to construct a pipeline only needs to agree - on a bilateral basis - with the party through whose territory the pipeline will cross. While the route of the pipeline must be communicated to the remaining signatories, in terms of mid-stream projects, the Convention has likely given renewed impetus to the realization of the TCP (Culver & etc., 2018) that promises an access of Turkmen gas to the Europe. Comparing with an instability in Middle East, exactly in Iraq and disputes in Eastern Mediterranean region, particularly on Cyprus, connection of Turkmen gas to SGC via TCP seems more achievable. TCP is considered for the supply of Turkmen gas to the European markets in the amount of 30 bcm/year for at least 30 years (Nebit - Gaz, 2018).

Volume of Turkmenistan’s gas reserves allows the Southern Caucasus to be one of the regions that can benefit from the construction of TCP. However, it does not mean that ethnic conflicts, which are the most important problems of the region, will end. Yet the officials of the EU emphasize their failed foreign policies on them at times. But an access to the huge amount of gas volumes of Turkmenistan can increase the importance of the region for the EU, what can prevent an emergence of the new conflicts and the flaming of existing ones. Procurement of uninterrupted gas flow to Europe means sustainable economic revenues for the Azerbaijani and Georgian economies, contributing to the employment, social life, education and development. Transportation of Turkmen gas via SGC creates an opportunity for these countries to obtain a gas at affordable prices. Far from a
membership perspective, in a vital matter such as energy supply, being in an interactive and interdependent relationship with the Union countries is important in terms of internalizing the democratic values of Europe on a wide platform in the near future, what can serve to political stability and improvements in good governance. Not posing a threat for any other state, such a cooperation not only with the European ones, but between the region’s countries can strengthen the regional peace, good and permanent neighborhood relations as well as their stable transit country status. While Azerbaijan’s and Turkey’s initiatives to feed the SGC with Turkmen gas are perceived as a complete circumvention of Armenia from being a part of the regional energy and transportation hub, in fact, the project an incentive tool for Armenia in terms of regional integration. In the light of ongoing political transformations in Armenia and current positions of its oldest partners – Russia with damaged image and Iran surrounding by sanctions - on the international area, it can be a correct time for this country to weaken its dependency ties with these countries and for trying to regional cooperation and integration with the West at the same time, renouncing territorial claims against neighbors. Armenia is already a consumer of Turkmen gas via the pipeline passing through Iran. It will be favorable to emphasize the multidimensional benefits of accessibility of Turkmen gas via SGC for Armenia, like for other two states of the Southern Caucasus.

**Conclusion**

The proven and otherwise probable hydrocarbon riches of Turkmenistan make it attractive for the world’s exploration and production industry companies. The SGC promises Turkmenistan the reliable customers in developed West, removing the serious pipeline transport obstacles in a large scale. Both Turkey and Azerbaijan as well as the EU have clearly stated their political will to support the project. An invitation of Georgia to the negotiations with Turkmenistan exposes the long-term dimension of SGC. Considering the successful practices obtained with the launch of Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan oil pipeline, it is indisputable that this project will be beneficial to states in the South Caucasus region who are seeking a peace and prosperity. As the world's advanced economies sign the new generation trade agreements, the stakeholders should join in order to provide the realization of the TCP and its connection to SGC right away.
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Cənub Qaz Dəhlizi: Türkmenistانın təbii qazının vacibliyi
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Açar Səzlər: Cənub Qaz Dəhlizi, təbii təhlükəsizliyi, təbii resurslar, Qafqaz, regional inkişaf
Расширение экономических и торговых отношений между странами с 1960 года изменило общую сферу международных отношений и расширилось с точки зрения охвата концепции «безопасности», включая вопросы, выходящие за рамки военной и оборонной деятельности. В этом смысле энергетический вопрос включен в повестку дня безопасности стран с развитой экономикой Европы, которые не имеют природных ресурсов. Распад Советского Союза создал новую альтернативу для европейских стран с точки зрения энергоснабжения. Появление в Каспийском бассейне независимых стран обладающих запасами нефти и природного газа, таких как Азербайджан, Казахстан, Туркменистан и Узбекистан, создало благоприятные условия для диверсификации поставщиков энергии в Европе. Успешная деятельность нефтепровода Баку-Тбилиси-Джейхан создала аналогичный проект для каспийских запасов природного газа. Цель данной статьи, состоит обсуждении возможности присоединения Туркменистана к Южному газовому коридору, оценивая потенциальные выгоды Южно-Кавказского региона.
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