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1. Introduction

Trauma occurs within an extensive context that involves individuals’ personal characteristics, life experiences and current conditions. Every human being has a history and each one is different. So, when traumatic events take a place individual’s response will be unique. Internal and external factor might have influence on the perception of trauma and its appraisal. In life journey, traumatic events can be experienced in which people live or work. Trauma-exposed individuals might evoke distress in the long-run. Under these conditions, proper self-care is important part of providing quality care and of sustaining personal and professional resources and capacities over time. Those people who work in emergency or health care services are the ones who most of their working lives are dealing with disaster, trauma. Therefore, the rehabilitation of traumatized employees is a vital part for the recovery process and the introduction of trauma care programs are successful when they are sensitively introduced.
2. Individual Trauma and Its Consequences

In a daily life, individual faces some different stressors, but some of them include unique characteristics that affect individual’s current status and future. Traumatic events are the ones which have impact on person’s life in a negative and positive way. While negative way represents psychopathologies, positive way represents transformation in lifestyle. Trauma is an ancient Greek word having the meaning of “wound” or “pierce” that was used for the warriors in fire line (Spier, 2001). Post-traumatic stress disorder originates from “post-Vietnam syndrome” or “delayed stress syndrome” in DSM III (Jones & Wesseley, 2007).

Today, in DSM IV (APA, 2000), trauma has been defined as follows: (1) “the person experienced, witnessed, or was confronted with an event or events that involved actual or threatened death or a serious injury, or a threat to the physical integrity of self or others” (2) “the person’s response involved in intense fear, helplessness, or horror” (p.200). When stressful life events cannot be handled the effects of helplessness might damage individual’s health and even life. According to Koch et al. (2012) 30% of the people who have been exposed to traumatic events may develop a post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). He also added that there are three major predictors of PTSD such as; prior trauma, lack of social support and the intensity of the exposure (Koch et al., 2012). However, according to studies, personal resources (e.g., hardiness, sense of coherence) have been found to be more effective than other resources such as social support when facing with traumatic event (Antonivsky, 1979; Ben-Sira, 1985).

According to conservation of resources theory (COR) (Hobfoll, 1991), resource loss is one of the major predictors of psychological impact of stressful events and traumas. Individuals have instinct to protect their resources in order to be alive. There are four major resources including objects (e.g., home, car, food), personal characteristics (e.g., self-esteem, self-confidence), conditions (e.g., having success at work or good marriage) and energy (e.g., money, insurance). According to the theory, if there is an actual loss of resources stress occurs (Freddy & Hobfoll, 1995). In line with these, it can be claimed that the impact of traumatic events might change with respect to degree of resource loss.

People might develop different perspectives aftermath of trauma. One of them is *spirituality*. Ample research shows that adaptive use of spiritual
resources (positive spiritual coping methods) offer unique benefits to people, even after controlling other resources, when they face life stressors. This includes the death of a loved one, terminal illness, major surgery, imprisonment, physical abuse, war, racism, flooding, car accidents, and adjustment to college (Pargament et al., 2006). After a while, such strategies decrease emotional stress and increase well-being and spiritual growth (Pargament & Ano, 2004).

Reaction to trauma has been identified by Kübler-Ross (1970) and Murray Parkes (1996). It might be highlighted that not everyone experiences the following symptoms. The severity of symptoms varies with respect to individuals. These are listed namely shock, disbelief, regret, sadness and anger.

**Shock.** People can “freeze” when they are shocked. They seem to have little emotional response, depersonalized and may be unable to think clearly. People who are shocked may need to immediate social support from their environment.

**Disbelief.** People may not believe what they experience or have some difficulties to accept the event (e.g., death or loss). Under these conditions, persuading them to “accept things” might contribute to perceive that event in more traumatized way. It should be accepted that every person has its own way to cope with events.

**Regret.** People can accuse themselves in a way that they can come to a different outcome. They may say such things as “if I hadn’t gone my child would be alive” or “if I had been on time I would not make an accident”. It should be reminded that usually there is a little difference that their actions would have made the event. However, it is a long process to accept it.

**Sadness.** As realization of events grows, the person will be likely to experience great sadness. Therefore, helper might empathize with the traumatized person and say things like “Of course you feel sad”, “it is such a normal reaction you feel after this terrible event” and so on.

**Anger.** People sometimes express anger reaction following the traumatic event. The counselors might consider offering helping strategies for management of emotions. Often anger is hardest to deal with, although it is a normal reaction to trauma, of course it is not acceptable or helpful to allow
unconditioned anger or aggression toward helpers or others (Kinchin, 2005; Kübler-Ross, 1970).

3. Factors contributing to development of PTSD

According to studies, gender is an important factor for development of PTSD. The women are more likely to experience PTSD (Perkonigg et al., 2000; Norris et al., 2003; Bernat et al., 1998). Subjective appraisal has also crucial factors for the course of PTSD. Individual’s perceptions of loss, threat, harm, or controllability of the event are explanatory risk for the PTSD (Mak et al., 2004; Ptacek et al., 1992). Moreover, prior psychological adjustment, family history of psychopathology and post trauma social support play role in the development of traumatic stress (Ozer, Best, Lipsy & Weiss, 2003). When the age range are taken into consideration it has been found that adolescents are likely to display a wide range of problem behaviors including both externalizing and internalizing problems such as aggressive behavior, substance use, acting-out behavior, decreased energy, and increased anxiety when they face traumatic event (Eth & Pynoss, 1985 cited in Vizek-Vidovic et al., 2000). Additionally, Brewin, Andrews, and Valentine (2000) found that people who have a prior history of mental health disorder are at increased risk of developing PTSD after exposure to traumatic incidents.

Another factor that might affect trauma is a culture. Often theories show differences between individualistic cultures which tend to value insight and collectivistic cultures which tend to value social integration (Dragun, 1996; Hofstede, 1991). Because collectivism also includes sharing, people might have tendency to share their bad experiences or traumatic events easier than individualistic and this leads to decrease development of PTSD. However, Wasti and Erdil (2007) argued that there would be difference as regards to tendency to adopt traditional values between the people who are brought up in small cities and those who grow in big cities; that those growing in big cities would like to adapt individualistic values but those growing in small cities would like to adapt collectivistic values.
4. Organizational Trauma

Organizational trauma is a relatively new concept and has been defined as a “set of potential organizational responses to internal or external acts or events” (Hopper, 2010). These events can be classified by individual and natural causes that result in psychological distress, injury, death to one or more employees in an organization. In literature, there are some examples of organizational trauma such as: organizational restructuring and downsizing resulting in new job assignments or job losses (Noer, 1993), murders in workplace by an armed employee or non-employee (Denenberg & Denenberg, 2010), acts of terrorism as occurred in organizations located in the World Trade Towers on 9/11 (Burke & Cooper, 2008), natural disasters occurring in mines (e.g., Mining accident Manisa (Soma) Turkey, in 2014).

Roberts and Martelli (2011) observed that organizations today have much more capacity to experience catastrophic accidents. Organizations are larger, more complex, more interdependent and interconnected, more technologically sophisticated. These factors create potential of man-made disasters. Shrivastava (1987) identified three causes of disasters in organizations: human (low employee morale, labor-management conflicts), organizational (frequent changes in top management), and technical (tightly coupled nature of the technology). As expected, those causes increase organizational traumas such as suicides in the workplace, death at work due to accidents, witnessing death in the workplace. As it is mentioned before, according to Hobfoll’s COR theory, stress results from the actual loss of resources, threats of such loss, or failure to get resources after much trying. Therefore, traumatic events and their demands (physical, psychological) cause individuals and organizations to quickly lose resources (e.g., fear, anxiety, concern for others, and damage to their workplace).

Organizations face some challenges during traumatic events. Especially, emotional trauma in organizations might restrict individual performance and effectiveness. According to de Klerk (2007), organizational development (OD) can influence the healing of emotional trauma. Leaders play a key role in healing process. Walter et al. (2008) suggest five principles for dealing with individuals experience disastrous act or event. These including providing sense of safety, providing calming, providing a sense of self- and collective efficacy, promoting connectedness, and hope.
The impact of trauma can be varied with respect to sectors. Nursing staff in emergency service is one of the most frequently experience traumatic events in workplace. In literature, there are some typologies of violence toward staff include:

*Type 1: Instructive violence.* Criminal intent by strangers, terrorist acts, mental illness- or drug-related aggression, and protest violence.

*Type 2: Consumer-related violence.* Consumer, client, patient violence against staff.

*Type 3: Relationship violence.* Staff-on-staff violence and bullying, and domestic violence at work.

*Type 4: Organizational violence.* Organizational violence against staff; and organizational violence against consumer, clients or patients (Merchant & Lundell, 2001; Peek-Asa, Runyan, & Zwerling, 2001).

Violence or attack against staff might lead to different effects such bio-physiological, cognitive, emotional and social effects. *Bio-physiological effect* includes anxiety or fear (Lanza, 1983) whereas *cognitive effect* includes threatening to personal integrity, humiliating (Lanza et al., 1991), harassing and threatening (Fry et al., 2002). Some incidents can lead to radical transformation of the meaning of the world and some victims state that nothing will ever be the same again (Hauck, 1993). *Emotional effects* include greatest variety of symptoms. Anger is one the most frequently reported that it may be directed toward staff themselves, superiors (Hauck, 1993), or the institution (Chambers, 1998). *Social effects* involve the feeling insecure at work (Bin Abdullah et al., 2000), adapting passive role (Chambers, 1998) or being more vulnerable (Fry et al., 2002).

Those symptoms are indicators of burnout syndrome which is generally defined as a state of physical, emotional, and mental exhaustion caused by exposure to chronic stress in the workplace. Researchers have suggested that burnout is associated with some basic symptoms, including physical depletion, feelings of helplessness and hopelessness, disillusionment, and the development of a negative self-concept and negative attitudes toward work, people involved in the work, and at times even life itself (Alexander, 1999; Pines & Aronson, 1988).
According to researches of Regehr et al. (2003), police officers who has involved in the public inquiry process has experienced some negative consequences toward their organizations. One of the officer reports that:

“I was a very energetic officer. I still am, but I put things in perspective a little more. If I don’t get to this… well, you know… I’m not going to die of a heart attack when I’m fifty or fifty-five. My attitude to the job has changed a lot, and my attitude to the organization and what I contribute has been reorganized significantly... We’re just casualties of the organization’’ (p.393).

Indeed, a primary mediating factor of organizational stress is social support within the organization, especially from supervisors (Gibbs, Drummond, & Lachenmeyer, 1993; Burke, 1993). In other word, when employee feels that she/he is supported and valued, they have tendency to experience lower levels of distress and burnout.

**4.1. The ways to decrease workplace trauma**

Organizations have responsibility to minimize risks and prevent harm occurring to their employees through working hours. However, in some instances the nature of the work role means there is an increased risk of traumatic event. Examples of roles include: military personnel, emergency services, security service workers, healthcare workers, banking workers. According to Rick et al. (1998) there are some levels where risk management should be carried out:

*Selection of staff:* the recruitment process should include the questions that are recruits able to cope with the type of work expected and are they aware of the risks in their role?

*Adequate training of employees:* Do employees need training to manage verbal or physical aggression? Are they aware what to expect and do in the event of an incident?

*Protective procedures:* are the supporting procedures to minimize the chances of an incident clear and regularly evaluated? Can the working environment provide a safe place for employees?
Support and post-trauma policies: Are there any system to support employees in workplace? Are these evaluated to ensure that they remain “fit for purpose”?

In the same vein, Grieger, McCarroll, and Ursano (1996) conclude research by recommending a similar list of organizational interventions to prevent traumatic stress; training, experience, group or organizational leadership, management of meaning, management of exposure, management of fatigue, sleep, and exhaustion, buddy care, natural social supports and caretakers, education in disaster stress and strain, education of health care providers, and screening (Grieger et al., 1996: 449). When an organization faces with trauma, there are two recovery process namely, individual recovery process and organizational recovery process.

4.2. Individual Recovery Process

Individual recovery represents the employees who were affected by the traumatic events, and many of them remained the work, or returned the work quickly after being supported through recovery process. Caddis (2010) made a research on the TfL employees who were exposed to London bombings aimed to investigate the psychological effects on employees. According to results the incidence of PTSD among employees was within “normal” levels, however, there was a short-term increase in sickness absence among employees for the first six months, and after the support provided by the in-house counseling team had a positive impact for employees.

4.3. Organizational Recovery Process

Organizations are living systems, which can be vulnerable – trauma can have a destabilizing impact (Bloom, 2011). Erikson identified the state of “collective trauma” with the symptoms such as organizational dissociation, miscommunication, and helplessness (Erikson, cited in Bloom, 2011: 141). Collective actions represent parallel process in which feelings or behaviors are transferred among individuals. In other words, Smith et al. (1989) described it as “when two or more systems – whether these consist of individuals, groups, or organizations – have significant relationships with one another, they tend to develop similar affects, cognitions, and behaviors, which are defined as parallel process” (p.13).
If collective trauma returns into collective disturbance which represents the separation of cognitive and emotional content of an experience (Stanton & Schwartz, 1954) then chronic affects might be seen such as; chronic unresolved conflict in organization. However, if this traumatic process can be handled in a resolving way, it is hoped that employees will be able to draw some meaningful conclusion about their own workplace through collective response and recovery from trauma.

5. Discussion

The review of the literature illustrates that there are different reasons and reactions to trauma and those reactions provide useful information for both organizations and individuals. Events causing a disaster or trauma will always occur however the most important thing can be emphasized as “dealing with trauma” rather than prevention. Individual’s competency, the training and recovery programs make difference in both preparedness and responsiveness. Although organizational and environmental interventions offer useful approaches to reduce the trauma risks, especially for those who employed in the public service sector where the risk of violence is the greatest. From the perspective of those services that are constantly exposed to stressful and emotionally disturbing events, providing adequate support might help to decrease absenteeism, lower cost of compensation and improve performance. Depression, freezing, or aggression can be seen as a major consequence of exposure to traumatic events. However, according to Mitchell and Everly (1993) where employees are trained and are mentally prepared to meet the demands of a traumatic situation, there is a reduced incidence of psychological trauma (Tehrani, 2004).

Individuals might be affected by traumatic situations in different ways depending on whether they are involved as victims, rescuers or bystanders. However, because they live in the same environment they have tendency to create collective trauma; in other words, trauma can be transferred into organizational culture. Therefore, organizations need to have in place clear systems and procedures to deal with effectively with the immediate crises as well as during the days and weeks that follow. Getting support from organization can result in positive perception about organization’s role, which in turn helps recovery.
6. Suggestions

Being prepared for dealing with trauma incidents is vital. Every organization needs to arrange who is responsible for the treatment of traumatic stress which can include human resources and employee assistances. Understanding what to treat and who needs to be treated should be core consideration of the decision makers. Accessing to professional training through conferences, education are protective factors for individual’s quality of life. Some growth may occur following the therapy after psychological trauma such growth might be seen as an increasing self-efficacy and responsibility (e.g., “what does not kill us makes us stronger”). Every workplace needs to be trauma informed and trauma sensitive. In organizations, it is useful to think and act about parallel processes of recovery, because people are interconnected and living in the same body in organizations. Therefore, one who changes in one part of the corporate, can also have chance to change whole body as well.
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Fərdi və təşkilati travma nəticələrinə görə irəlləmək
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Bu məqalədə fərdi və təşkilati şəkildə baş verən travmanın aynəlaşdırılması üçün travmanın məhiyyətini, travma sonrası stress pozuqluqlarını və travmayı azaltma yollarını daha yaxşı başa düşən məqsədlə araşdırma aparılışdır.

Tədqiqat göstərir ki, iş yerində travma baş verə bilər və çox zərərlidir; ancak bu ümumiyyətlə düzgün şəkildə anlaşılmır və buna görə də vacib bir məsələ kimi dəqqət çıxır. Müəllif fərdi/təşkilati travmanın və bu halların iş yerlərində baş verməsi ilə də məhsul təsir göstərəcək şəkildə insan resursları təcrübəsinə kəmək etmək məqsədilə öz izahatlarını və təkliflərini vermişdir.

Açar sözlor: Travma, fərdi travma, təşkilati travma, travma sonrası sinir pozğunluğu, resurs qorunması nəzarətiyyəsi
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В этой статье было проведено исследование характера травмы, с целью прояснения травм, происшедших в как в индивидуальной, так и в организационной форме, а также для лучшего понимания посттравматического стрессового расстройства и способов уменьшения травм. Исследования показывают, что травмы могут возникать на рабочем месте и они очень вредны; но в целом это, похоже, это не правильно истолковывается, и, следовательно не принимается во внимание как важный вопрос.

Автор дал свои объяснения и предложения о помощи опыту человеческих ресурсов, при проявлении личных / организационных травм рабочем месте.
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