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Conflicts and the factor of Peace in their regulation
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Conflicts and the problem of peace are processes that are currently very relevant. Disputes, disagreements and conflicts exist in society and in all spheres of human life and influence them. Some researchers consider these cases as a natural phenomenon inherent in human nature and believe that they even have a positive impact on the development of society, while others regard them as a factor slowing the development of society. Sometimes in the roots of these conflicts there are certain interests.

As a result of the clash of these interests, the activity of existing spheres in society is either positive or negative. However, conflicts arising as a result of disagreement should not be resolved by war, but only through peace and means to ensure it. These means include consensus, negotiation, compromise, concessions, etc.
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РЕЗЮМЕ

Конфликта и фактор мира в их регулировании

Вусала ГУЛЬМАЛИЕВА
Национальная Академия Наук Азербайджана, Баку, Азербайджан

Конфликты и проблема мира - это процессы, которые в настоящее время отличаются своей актуальностью. Споры, разногласия и конфликты существуют в обществе и во всех сферах жизни человека и оказывают влияние на них. Некоторые исследователи рассматривают эти случаи, как естественное явление присущие природе человека и считают, что они даже оказывают положительное влияние на развитие общества, в то время как другие расценивают их как фактор замедляющие развитие общества. Иногда в корнях этих конфликтов есть определенные интересы. В результате столкновения этих интересов деятельность существующих сфер в обществе является либо положительной, либо отрицательной. Однако конфликты, возникающие в результате разногласия, не должны решаться войной, а только посредством мира и средств для его обеспечения. К Этим средствам относятся консенсус, переговоры, компромисс, уступки и т. д.
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EXAMINATION OF EMPATHETIC CONFLICT TENDENCIES OF MANAGERS
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Introduction
Decision-making processes and levels in businesses largely accounted on whether the organizational structure is centric or not. It is an expected process for managers to demonstrate effective leadership and leadership by demonstrating managerial and organizational skills. It is expected that the manager, who is assigned or assumed in this process, is responsible for coordinating the activities in the organizational structure, coordinating the efforts, establishing and maintaining the order of objects and people, supervising and directing applications and directing change and preparing alternative plans.

Every manager who is responsible with the application must inform the employees in a right and effective manner to make an impressive redirection. The manager who can act like that can take have the atmosphere to be provided with the effective support of the workers behind him, and he/she can make more efficient decisions when reaching out the target destination. Communication is also becoming more crucial and sensitive in this period.

Interpersonal relationships are influenced by the individual's communication with each other. People's, in communication, instincts, perceptions, emotions, thoughts, are affected by current education levels, experiences, values and attitudes. Communications and relationships have positive and negative effects on the mental health of the interacting individuals. The development of interpersonal relationship skills requires that both self-management skills and empathic tendencies mature. Empathy is considered to be an important component of a supportive relationship between peoples (Fields, Hojat, Gonnella, Mangione, Kane and Magee, 2004). Rogers defines an empathetic person as the process by which he looks at events from his point of
view, putting himself in other person's side, feeling, and realizing that person's emotions and thoughts correctly.

Empathy term consists of many factors. The empathetic tendency, one of these concepts, constitutes the empathic emotional dimension. The basis of empathetic tendency is based on the ability to understand the emotional problems of individuals and their willingness to help individuals with these problems. It may create individual differences and it is also examined by the emotional sensitivities of the individuals. For a person's making empathy, his/her empathetic tendency must be at the desired level (Dökmen, 2003). People who are not prepared to communicate emotionally with the other individual they are not also willing to make empathy.

Insufficiency, conflict and failure experiences in people's relationships affect the mental health of individuals in a negative way. Individuals who are struggling with human relationships can experience problems such as loneliness, stress, high anxiety and burnout. Since the physical and mental health of the person in negative emotions and thoughts will also deteriorate, the person will not show healthy behaviors in social relations. They can seriously impact on the occupational life of the individual and lay the groundwork for the deterioration of bilateral and social relations. From this point of view, it has been seen that examining the levels of empathetic conflicts of the managers is important.

Method

The population of this research, which was made in accordance with the relational survey model, is consisted of the education, health and private sector managers from Bursa province. The sample of the research consists of 270 managers specified by random sampling method.

Data Collecting Tools

1-Personal Information Form: The Personal Information Form consists of questions about independent vairances that are related to examination in the research, by searching the sources and consulting expert opinions in order to collect information about the personal characteristics of employees included in the research. This form was developed by researchers for the purpose of this research and in accordance with the independent variances.

Conflict Tendency Scale

There are two approaches in measurement related to interpersonal communication. The first is the scaling of interpersonal communication problems, for example; communication conflicts. This approach is exemplified by the Conflict Tactics Scale. The second approach is to measure communication skills. An example of this approach can be the Interaction Skill Analysis Scale. In this
research, it was aimed to measure communication conflicts between people. For this purpose, the testimonies developed by Dökmen (1986), C.T. S. (Conflict Tendency Scale). C. T. S. Harary and Batell (1981)’s communication conflict model is a Likert - type scale that is developed and accepted as an institutional bais.


**Reliability of the scale:** The scale consists of 53 items in positive and negative values. Reliability coefficiency of the scale is $r = .89$.

**Validity of the scale:** In the validity study of the scale, the types of conflicts that a group of students show while discussing a topic in groups were graded. The correlation of the subjects was determined separately for each type of conflict. The results were found meaningful.

**Analysis of Datum**

For the analysis of datum that was acquired from research descriptive statistics, t test, F test and Tukey tests were used.

**Findings**

Table 1. Results of t test related to empathic conflict tendencies depending on gender of managers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Conflict</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>21,12</td>
<td>3,09</td>
<td>-0,037</td>
<td>.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>21,23</td>
<td>3,73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Conflict</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>18,31</td>
<td>4,29</td>
<td>2,513*</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>17,63</td>
<td>4,09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existential Conflict</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10,55</td>
<td>3,21</td>
<td>-0,146</td>
<td>.8618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>11,49</td>
<td>3,36</td>
<td></td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Rejection</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>12,62</td>
<td>2,75</td>
<td>0,616</td>
<td>.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>11,83</td>
<td>2,55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prejudiced Conflict</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2,67</td>
<td>1,24</td>
<td>0,288</td>
<td>.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>2,37</td>
<td>1,20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity Conflict</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2,26</td>
<td>1,43</td>
<td>-1,019</td>
<td>.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>2,53</td>
<td>1,58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active PR prejudiced</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>3,39</td>
<td>1,09</td>
<td>1,213*</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Whole</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejection</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanistic Approach</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>20.87</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the subscales of empathic conflict tendencies are examined in Table 1, arithmetic average of women managers (=$21.12$) and arithmetic average of male managers (=$21.23$) are calculated in the Active Conflict sub-dimension. There was no meaningful difference between the averages ($t$-0.037 $p>$ 0.01).

In the Passive Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (=$18.31$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$17.63$). Meaningful differences were found to be meaningful between the averages ($t$ 2.513 $p>$ 0.01). It is seen that female managers use passive conflict strategy more than male managers.

In the Existential Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (=$10.55$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$11.49$). There was no meaningful difference between the averages ($t$-0.146 $p>$ 0.01).

In the Whole Rejection Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (=$12.62$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$11.83$). There was no meaningful difference between the averages ($t$ 0.616 $p>$ 0.01).

In the Prejudice Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (=$2.67$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$2.37$). There was no meaningful difference between the averages ($t$ 0.288 $p>$ 0.01).

In the Density Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of women managers (=$2.26$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$2.53$). There was no meaningful difference between the averages ($t$ -1.019 $p>$ 0.01).

In the Active Prejudice Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (=$3.39$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$3.08$). A meaningful difference was found between the averages ($t$ 1.213 $p>$ 0.01). It has been determined that female managers use the active prejudiced conflict strategy more than male managers.

In the passive Whole Rejection sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (=$10.36$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$10.01$). There was no meaningful difference between the averages ($t$ 0.445 $p>$ 0.01).

In the Humanistic Approach sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (=$14.93$) and the arithmetic average of male managers (=$13.37$). A meaningful difference was found between the averages ($t$ 1.912 $p>$ 0.01). It has been determined that female managers use the humanistic approach strategy more than male managers.
In the Personal Characteristics sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of female managers (= 20.51) and the arithmetic average of male managers (= 20.87). There was no meaningful difference between the averages (t -0.258 p>.001).

Table 2. Results of the f test on the levels of empathic conflict according to the occupational seniority of managers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub Dimensions</th>
<th>Seniority</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14.24</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>1.27*</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.794</td>
<td>.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existentialist Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.949*</td>
<td>.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Rejection</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21.34</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prejudiced Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23.45</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>22.19</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Prejudiced Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>20.12</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Whole Rejection Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.299*</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>19.96</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanistic Approach</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20.28</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>2.386*</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>26.17</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Characteristics</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>22.86</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>1.572</td>
<td>.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows that there are meaningful differences in the dimensions of Active Prejudiced Conflict, Existential Conflict, Passive Disconfirmation and Humanistic Approach when the responses given to subscales of empathic conflict.
tendency scale according to occupational seniority are examined. There were no meaningful differences in passive conflict, Whole Rejection, prejudiced conflict, conflict of density, active prejudiced conflict and personal characteristics subscales according to occupational seniority of managers. Findings related to the Tukey test to determine the source of the difference in size with meaningful differences are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Tukey test results according to occupational seniority of managers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(I) Seniority</th>
<th>(J) Seniority</th>
<th>Difference Between Averages (I-J)</th>
<th>SH</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>8,035*</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>7,541*</td>
<td>1,681</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existentialist Conflict</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>4,215*</td>
<td>,839</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>5,793*</td>
<td>,999</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive Whole Rejection</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>8,035*</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>7,531*</td>
<td>1,681</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanistic Approach</td>
<td>1-7 years</td>
<td>8-15 years</td>
<td>4,215*</td>
<td>,839</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16+ years</td>
<td>-5,693*</td>
<td>,999</td>
<td>,001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the levels of active conflicts are examined according to the occupational seniority of managers, it is seen that managers having seniority years 1-7 years have more active conflict than managers having between 8-15 years and 16+ years of professional seniority year. When the levels of existential conflict according to the manager's seniority levels are examined, it is seen that the managers with seniority years 1-7 years have more existential conflicts than the special managers with between 8-15 years and 16+ years of professional seniority.

When the conflict levels of rejection according to occupational seniority of managers are examined, it is seen that managers with vocational seniority years 1-7 years have more passive rejection conflict than administrators with vocational seniority years 8-15 years and 16+ years.

When the levels of using humanistic approach according to the occupational seniority of managers are examined, it is seen that the managers whose vocational seniority years are between 1-7 years have higher vocational seniority vocational seniority managers than vocational seniority vocational seniority they seem to use a more humane approach.
When the managers' empathetic conflict tendency subscales are examined according to their occupational satisfaction the arithmetic average of the managers calculated as (= 17,13). Which is indicating satisfaction with occupation in the Active Conflict sub-dimension of the managers indicating that they are not satisfied with their profession their arithmetic average calculated as (= 21,20). A meaningful difference was found between the averages (t = 0,008 p > .05). Managers who determined that their professions were unsatisfied were found to have higher levels of active conflict use.

In the Passive Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average (= 19,41) of the managers indicating satisfaction with their profession is calculated as the arithmetic average (= 16,81) of the managers indicating that the professions are not satisfied. There was no meaningful difference between the averages (t = 1,456 p > .001).

In the Existential Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of the managers indicating that they are satisfied with their profession (= 22,22), and the arithmetic average (= 18,72) of the managers indicating that the professions are unsatisfied. A meaningful difference was found between the averages (t 6,688 p
The existentialist conflict levels of the managers indicating that they have received occupational satisfaction were found to be higher.

Arithmetical average of managers who indicate satisfaction with their profession (= 19,15), Arithmetic average (= 20,66) of managers who do not feel satisfaction of their profession in the Conflict Rejection sub-dimension. A meaningful difference was found between the averages (t = 6,530 p <, 001). Completely Rejection Conflict levels were found to be higher for managers who indicated that they could not get job satisfaction. In the Prejudice Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of the managers who indicate satisfaction with their profession (= 23,20) and the arithmetic average (= 21,21) of the managers who indicate that they are not satisfied by their profession. A meaningful difference was found between the averages (t 1-, 561 p <, 001). Pre-judicial conflict levels of managers receiving job satisfaction were found as lower.

In the Intensity Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of managers (= 3.76) indicating that they are satisfied with their profession is calculated as the arithmetic average (= 2.73) of managers who are not satisfied with their profession. As a result of the analysis (t = 0,258 p>, 001), no meaningful difference was found to analyze the difference between the averages.

In the Active Prejudice Conflict sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of managers (= 2.82) indicating that they are satisfied with their profession is calculated as the arithmetic average (= 2.74) of managers who are not satisfied with the professions. There was no meaningful difference between the averages (t 1,009 p>, 001).

The arithmetic average (= 3.60) of the managers who indicate satisfaction with their profession in the passive Whole Rejection sub-dimension is calculated as the arithmetic average (= 3.48) of the managers who indicate that the professions are not satisfied. There was no meaningful difference between the averages (t = 1,113 p>, 001).

In the Humanitarian Approach sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of managers who indicate satisfaction with their profession (= 10,16) and the arithmetic average (= 10,06) of managers who indicate that they are not satisfied. There was no meaningful difference between the averages (t = 0,425 p>, 001).

In the Personal Characteristics sub-dimension, the arithmetic average of the managers indicating that they are satisfied with their profession (= 14,67) and the arithmetic average (= 13,98) of the managers indicating that the professions are not satisfied. There was no meaningful difference between the averages (t = -1,892 p>, 001).
**Discussion and result**

When the tendencies of empathetic conflict according to genders of managers are examined, it is seen that female managers use passive conflict strategy more than male managers. If active listening is used only to listen to the person, it is only a technique and positive result can not be obtained. Empathic listening puts the person's understanding on the first plan. It is attempted to understand what the words of the person say, what experiences and learning are expressed, how one feels himself, how he sees the world and himself. Seeing the world with the experience of the opponent stated empathic behavior (Özbek, 2002).

The way in which effective communication can be achieved is the willingness of the communicating parties to be able to communicate both in time and in emotion. Effective communication begins with listening first. In the listening process, speaker's taklings should be focussed.

The actual communication between the individuals is widespread when individuals listen to the person they are in contact with, trying to understand the tendencies to judge (Cihangir, 2004).

In the active prejudiced conflict sub-dimension, it was determined that female managers used active conflict strategy more than male managers. The actively prejudiced conflict life of the administrators stands out as an undesirable situation in terms of working life. If the manager-employee relations are negative, it causes negative adherence, academic failure and reluctance.

When the levels of empathic conflict were examined according to the occupational seniority of managers, it was found that there were meaningful differences in the dimensions of Active Prejudiced Conflict, Existential Conflict, Passive Rejection and Humanistic Approach. When the level of active conflicts according to the managers' seniority levels is examined, it is seen that the managers with seniority years 1-7 years have more active conflicts than managers with conflict levels between 8-15 years and 16+ years.

The manager, who is the most basic member of the management process, should have characteristics such as constant communication with employees, supporting different individual characteristics, meeting interests and requirements, preparing and implementing programs and evaluating all these studies.

When managers' empathetic conflict tendencies sub-dimensions were examined according to their occupational satisfaction, managers who stated that they did not have occupational satisfaction were found to use active conflict more frequently. In the Existential Conflict sub-dimension, the existentialist conflict levels of the managers indicating that they have occupational satisfaction are found higher. Whole Rejection Conflict levels were found to be higher for managers who indicated that they could not get job satisfaction. The levels of Prejudicial Conflicts were found to be lower for managers who were satisfied
with their profession. Managers are positively and negatively affected by the individuals they are in contact with. Managers who coincide with a large number of employees are in a constant empathic tendency. This situation causes the managers to wear out more. Communication is the transfer of feelings, thoughts or information to others by anything imagined (Baltaş-Baltaş, 1999). All groups with communication problems are in conflict, and new problems are faced without resolving previous ones. It is almost impossible to live a healthy life without solving communication problems. The main purpose of people's life-long struggle is to be able to live a healthy and satisfying life.

Its main factor is to make a healthy communication. Thoughts are expressed by verbal communication, nonverbal communication in emotions (Cüceloğlu, 1991). It has been suggested by researchers that communication styles made by managers are related to job satisfaction (Balci, 1985; Uslu, 1999).
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