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Introduction

Motivation is that individuals act in accordance with their own requests and desires to achieve a given purpose (Koçel, 2003). According to another definition, motivation is the process of encouraging wage earners to work and making them believe that they will satisfy their personal needs optimally as long as they work efficiently in the organization.

As maybe the most important of human resource functions and a factor directing the human relations, motivation is also the most neglected function. The fact that administrators cannot foresee what the company can gain by high motivation in a concrete way is effective on this fact. Some additional costs are required to keep motivation on high levels and the financial benefit secured cannot be directly calculated. However, motivation aims at increasing the business efficiency as well as enhancing the satisfaction expected by workers from the business (Osterlah, Frey & Frost 2001).

Furthermore, recruiting more qualified personnel in the organization, keeping the current qualified personnel in the body of the organization for a long time; and workers being more efficient and performing better is possible through working environments where motivation is maintained on higher levels (McNamara, 2003).

In his article 'Motivation and Personality', Maslow stated that science cannot be independent from human values and revealed that meeting individuals' needs in a hierarchic order (psychological, safety, belongingness, care and love, esteem and self-actualization) will motivate them. Again, Frederick Herzberg emphasized the importance of levels of satisfaction and motivation for performance increase in his Two Factor Theory (Hume, 1998). Dissatisfaction to be observed in an organization on any level will cause conflict while decreasing motivation and performance.

It should not be expected that unmotivated personnel perform efficiently and work productively.
It is known that significant relationships between work motivation and job performance increase the quality of production activities and the productivity. Industrial workers' job performances and work motivations were therefore identified and investigated by certain variables in the research.

**Method**

The research is a quantitative study conducted with the relational survey model. The Work Motivation Scale was used to measure industrial workers' levels of work motivation. When the participants of the research are examined by their demographics; 73.5% of 302 participants are male; 26.5% of them are female. This shows that the number of male participants is 222, the number of female participants is 80.

**Work motivation scale:**

The Work Motivation Scale developed by Aksoy (2006) and adapted by Tanrıverdi (2007) and composed of 18 questions was used. The structure validity of the scale was examined with the factor analysis. According to the data obtained from the factor analysis, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Sample Measure was found to be 0.781. Accordingly, Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity is significant and was found to be 470.77.

**Findings**

**Table 2.** *t* Test results of the subdimensions of Work Motivation Scale by industrial workers' gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team Cohesion</strong></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>14.68</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>-2.942</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work Engagement</strong></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>-1.705</td>
<td>.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizational Commitment</strong></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>-3.034</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As for the examination of the subdimensions of the Work Motivation Scale by industrial workers' gender, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 14.68\); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 16.06\) for the team cohesion subdimension. A significant difference \((t = -2.942, p > .05)\) was found between the means. Female workers' levels of team cohesion are higher than male workers'. As for the examination of the engagement subdimension, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 14.64\); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 15.46\). No significant difference \((t = -1.705, p > .05)\) was found between the means.

As for the examination of the organizational commitment subdimension, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 11.21\); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 12.38\). A significant difference \((t = -3.034, p > .05)\) was found between the means. Female workers' levels of organizational commitment are higher than male workers'. As for the examination of the personal development subdimension, male workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 11.04\); female workers' arithmetic mean was found to be \(X = 11.51\). No significant difference \((t = -1.121, p > .05)\) was found between the means.

Table 2. *F* Test results concerning whether there is a difference between the work motivation scale subdimensions by industrial workers' occupational experiences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Occupational Experience</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Team Cohesion</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>14.27</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>5.511</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Engagement</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>12.716</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Commitment</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>9.251</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Development</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>14.504</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-20 years</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05

When Work Motivation Scale subdimensions are examined by industrial workers' occupational experiences, significant differences were found for team cohesion, engagement, organizational commitment and personal development. A
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significance analysis was conducted to determine by which groups the difference is caused by industrial workers' occupational experiences. Findings obtained from Tukey's test performed to find out by which groups the difference is caused by industrial workers' occupational experiences are shown in Table 3.

Table 3.

Results of the multiple comparison test for work motivation scale subdimensions concerning by which groups the difference is caused by industrial workers' occupational experiences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>(i) Occupational experience</th>
<th>(j) Occupational experience</th>
<th>Difference Between (i-j)</th>
<th>sh</th>
<th>sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Team Cohesion</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>11-20 Years</td>
<td>-.38616</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>-.152677*</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Engagement</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>11-20 Years</td>
<td>.46568</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>-.183696*</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Commitment</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>11-20 Years</td>
<td>.04691</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>-.143365*</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Development</td>
<td>1-10 years</td>
<td>11-20 Years</td>
<td>-.53604</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21 - above</td>
<td>-.209280*</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05

As for the examination of industrial workers' Work Motivation Scale scores by their occupational experiences, team cohesion levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above. Engagement levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above. Organizational commitment levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above. Personal development levels of workers who have 1-10 years of occupational experiences were found to be
significantly higher than workers who have 11-20 years and 21 years of occupational experiences and above.

**Discussion**

When one examines the findings about the question “Is there a significant difference among industrial workers' level of work motivation by the gender variable?”, female workers' levels of team cohesion and organizational commitment are higher than male workers' levels.

Pennington (1997), Taşpınar (2008) and Smith (1999) concluded in their studies that gender does not affect the level of motivation. Related literature do not support the research findings.

Baysal and Paksoy (1999) found at the end of their research that there is a significant and positive relationship between the gender variable and staying in the job, emotional commitment to the organization and staying in the organization. The related literature shows parallelism with this finding of the research.

According to the findings of the other subproblem “Is there a significant difference among industrial workers' level of work motivation by the occupational experience variable?”, the workers with 1-10 years of occupational experience have higher levels of team cohesion, engagement, organizational commitment and personal development.

There are researches in the related literature that support the findings of the research. Özer (2009) found that the nurses with 1–5 years of professional seniority have higher levels of motivation than the nurses with longer professional seniority. On the other hand, Keser (2006) concluded that work motivation levels increases as the professional seniority goes up. However, Howard (2007), Everett (1988), Pennington (1997) and Smith (1999) stated in their studies that level of motivation does not differ by the professional seniority variable. According to the literature, there are studies that do and do not support the findings of the research.

It is expected that workers who are identified with their organization and regard themselves a part of the organization will put more effort to deliver the tasks, consider themselves the representatives of the organization inside and outside the workplace and look out for organizations interest in all decisions they make (Miller, Allen, Casey & Johnson, 2000). Zhou & George (2001) stated in their study that the friendships in the working environment increase the level of organizational commitment, therefore increasing the work motivation and the job performance. Ohlson (2009) stated that there is a significant relation with goal congruence and motivation and performance.

Colleagues help each other more with a mutual exchange. This, in return, increases the cohesion of the work group, personal development and job satisfaction. Cohesion and job satisfaction in a work group redounds on the job performance (Wikaningrum, 2007). In addition, team cohesion is effective on
whether the time spent in the workplace and the working environment itself is
good or bad (Bateman, 2009). Working with colleagues who are in cohesion with
the team provides a good working environment where individuals can voice their
thoughts and ideas easily and improve themselves. Positive developments can be
observed in the job performance and work motivation levels of individuals who
achieves a more peaceful and creative working environment with the perception
of cohesion among colleagues.

There are arguments that some colleague behaviors are caused by political
or interest-oriented purposes and, it is therefore not possible to talk about a
constructive colleague behavior all the time (Bateman, 2009). It is sometimes
argued that the worker who accepts the support by colleagues is incompetent. The
individual receiving support from a colleague may be perceived as a worker who
has shortcomings of capability and independence (Ng & Sorensen, 2008). Other
than these opinions, it is possible to observe the positive effects of colleague
support and team cohesion on job performance and work motivation.

found in their researches that there is a positive relationship between team
cohesion and job performance. High perception of team cohesion results in high
job performance and work motivation.

The studies in which a positive relationship was found between workers' levels
of contextual motivation and job performances indicate the importance of
motivation (Goldsmith, Veum & Darity, 2000; Aziz, Goldman & Olsen, 2007).
Incentive award and salary practices of managements enable workers to work
with more effort. Workers' levels of work motivation and job performance
decrease in businesses which cannot use contextual motivation and task
performance tools and fall insufficient in factors such as salary, self-development,
etc.

It was seen in a research conducted on 401 workers in 29 companies in
Korea that contextual motivation has a significant impact on job performance
(Chang, 2003). In a study performed to motivations and performances of students
taking physical education class, it was revealed that students' levels of intrinsic
motivation increased their performances (Standage & Gillison, 2007).

The fact that workers are not independent in their jobs and not provided
with opportunities to improve them affects their motivation negatively. There are
other research findings which show that autonomy and independence increase
performance and motivation (Sproule, Wang, Morgan, Neill & Morris, 2007;
Standage & Gillison, 2007). It should be therefore remembered that workers
should be provided with independence to increase their intrinsic motivation and
personal growth opportunities for organizational commitment.

In an interview with American managers they emphasized that the job
needs to be intriguing and stimulating rather than using monetary incentives, and
workers should have the ability to participate in decision-making process and
their achievement should be taken into consideration and appreciated. It is stated
that workers show high levels of performance as long as the conditions to increase their contextual motivational level are provided (Delfgaauw & Dur, 2007).

Another finding shows that the motivational factors of engagement and organizational commitment are more effective on the hotel workers than the motivational factors of team cohesion and personal development (Chiang & Jang, 2008). In a study in which the motivators that affect intentions of the workers of a software-developing company to participate in the future projects were analyzed, both internal and external motivators were found to be effective (Wu, Gerlach & Young, 2007). It is understood that intrinsic and extrinsic motivations should be of high importance for individuals to participate in future projects.

There are other researches for determining the relationship between organizational commitment and work motivation. A large number of research findings have been found in relation to the positive relationship between workers’ organizational commitment and work motivation (Montes, Fuentes, & Fernandez, 2003; Linz, 2004; Pierce & Gardner, 2004).

It is stated that a management showing effort to strengthen the social relations in the workplace is highly effective on the increase of workers’ work motivation and performance to help them meet their psycho-social necessities (Bozkurt & Bozkurt, 2008). Performance appraisal helps workers know their motivational levels and show more effort to improve themselves.
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Metal sənaye işçilərinin iş motivasiyasının inkişafi
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Məlumatlar təhlilinə t-testi, F testi və Pearsonson əlaqə əmsalı usullarından istifadə edilmişdir. Tədqiqatın sonunda, qadin sənaye işçiləri iş motivasiyasının alt-öclərini, komanda da uyğunlaşma və təşkilatlı əhəmiyyəti səviyyələri ilə kişi sənaye işçilərinində daha yüksək nəticə göstərmişdir.

Professional iş təcrübəsi və iş motivasiyasının dörd ölçülü faktorunun baxımından, 1-10 il iş təcrübəsi olan işçilərin istiraki, işgüzar istiraklığı, təşkilatı amoqdaşlığı və şəxsi inkişaf səviyyələrinə görə 11 -20 və ya daha yüksək və ya 21 illik iş təcrübəsi sahib olanlardan daha əhəmiyyətli dərsəcə yüksək nəticə göstərmişdir.

Açar sözler: Biznes motivasiyası, motivasiya, sənaye işçisi, iş təcrübəsi, əhəmiyyətli öhdəlik
РЕЗЮМЕ

Развитие мотивации труда работников металлургической промышленности

Хакан Озчелик
IMBL (Институт управления, бизнеса и права), Ростов-он-Дон, Россия

Считается, что описание взаимосвязи между эффективностью работы и мотивацией работы промышленных работников способствует исследованиям в этом секторе. Кроме того, качество производства, производительность и продуктивность исполнительной промышленности производства могут быть увеличены благодаря позитивной мотивации работы. В этом исследовании «промышленная мотивация промышленных работников и связь ее с предпринимательской деятельностью» были рассмотрены различными методами. В исследовании была собрана информация путем применения к работникам промышленности методов «Формы личной информации», «Коэффициента рабочей производительности» и «Коэффициент мотивации работы». В анализе данных использовались методы t-теста, F-критерия и метода контактных коэффициентов Пирсона. В конце исследования, показатели мотивации работы, уровни адаптации в команде и организационной приверженности у женщин-работников промышленности, показали более высокий результат, чем у мужчин работников промышленности.
С точки зрения четырехмерного фактора профессионального опыта и мотивации работы, участие, участие в бизнесе, организационное сотрудничество и уровень развития личности работников, которые имеют опыт работы 1-10 лет, показали значительно более высокий результат, чем те, у кого опыт работы от 11 до 20 лет или же свыше 21 года.

Ключевые слова: мотивация бизнеса, мотивация, работник отрасли, опыт работы, обязательства