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Introduction

Education is the most significant field for progress in today’s knowledge-driven economic world. Education creates a workforce that is able to work in a competitive environment and thus it reduces poverty (Kazmi and Quran). John Dewey also termed education as the “foundation for ever-evolving economy and culture” (Araya and Peters). So, the role of education in the creation of human capital is vital (Memon). Currently, the world is excelling in the knowledge-driven economy in which human capital with the help of knowledge produces wealth for a country (Keep) and that is impossible without planning and policy making.
Policy is “a definite course or method of action selected from among alternatives and in light of given conditions to guide and determine present and future decisions” ("Policy"). Haddad and Demsky define policy as “as decisions designed to guide future decisions or to initiate and guide the implementation of previous decisions”. Similarly, educational policy is defined as a set of principles or laws and rules laid down to regulate the educational system (Educational Policy).

Although these policies are made in the legislature or by the selected experts of the field it is evident that “educational policies are received and rearticulated in schools” (Ball and Bowe). A number of educational policies have been developed in Pakistan over the course of seventy-two years such as the National Education Commission 1959, National Education Policy 1970, National Education Policy 1978, National Education Policy 1992, National Education Policy 2009, and National Education Policy 2017 (Dildar, Saif and Naz). Every policy has highlighted the importance of education and has tried to advance notions that encourage access to education for all (Kazmi and Quran). However, these policies have undergone changes as the governments have changed (Jamil), and the majority of them remained mere documents with no implementation at all. And if any implementation took place, there was no feedback and monitoring (Ahmed and Hussain).

These might be a few of the major reasons for inconsistent policies due to which educational problems have remained the same over the years. Despite such failures of educational policies, educational policy remains a less researched domain in the educational research field in Pakistan.

**The rationale for this study**

Despite huge claims made by the developers of the National Educational Policy 2009, the policy is an endless ‘wish list’ about budget allocation, and betterment of public sector educational institutes from schools to universities (Ahmed and Hussain). On the other hand, National Education Policy 2017 is said to be a well-organized document with a ‘standardized template/format of each chapter’ with an attempt to bridge the gaps created by National Educational Policy 2009. More importantly, it is believed to have given a much clearer view of elementary, primary, secondary and higher secondary, and higher education.

Therefore, the present paper attempts to review the National Education Policy 2009 and the National Education Policy 2017 to analyze the similarities and dissimilarities between them with respect to elementary, primary, secondary and higher secondary, and higher education.
Research Questions

1. How is the National Educational Policy 2017 similar to the National Educational Policy 2009 from Early Childhood Education to Higher Education?

2. How is the National Educational Policy 2017 different from the National Educational Policy 2009 from Early Childhood Education to Higher Education?

Significance of the study

It is of utmost importance to review the similarities and dissimilarities to track the changes and development. The review will inform the researchers and policymakers about the extent to which changes have really been made in the two policy documents and whether those changes are realistic and aligned with ground realities.

Overview of Educational Policy 2009

National Educational Policy 2009 (henceforth NEP 2009) was the result of a review of National Education Policy 1998-2010 and a white paper that was finalized in 2007. This white paper served as the guiding principle for the development of the educational policy 2009 (Pakistan). The policy discussed challenges, commitment gap, and Islamic education, policy action for each sub-sector, and implementation framework in its nine chapters.

According to the NEP 2009, the National Education Policy 1998-2010 was not carried forward because it did not produce the desired results and was not aligned with the increasing demands of globalization. Besides, it lacked the potential to fulfill the requirements of Millennium Development Goals (henceforth MDGs) and Education for All (henceforth EFA). More attention was given to paperwork than the implementation because of a lack of commitment and inefficient management by the government. (Rashid and Mukhtar) This necessitated the framing of a new policy which was introduced in 2009.

Overview of Educational Policy 2017

National Education Policy 2017 was the result of several national and international developments that influenced the education sector. They included the eighteenth amendment that devolved the education ministry; the global market that
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demanded creative, critical, collaborative, and communicative people; and the replacement of EFA and MDGs with Sustainable Development Goals (henceforth SDGs) by the UN (Pakistan). The implementation work was virtually zero after the 18th amendment in the Constitution of Pakistan in 2010. This created a huge gap in the education sector of the country. In order to bridge the vacuum, an Inter-Provincial Education Ministers (IPEMC) Conference was held at Muzaffarabad in 2015 which in its meeting unanimously made a decision to revise the National Education Policy.

The Ministry constituted a committee comprising members from each province and federating units which later came up with a framework of a new policy. The framework was also approved by the 7th Inter-Provincial Education Ministers’ Conference held in Islamabad in February 2016. The zero draft, first draft, and second draft all were reviewed by the members of the committee and other stakeholders and a final version was shared with the higher authorities.

**Discussion**

1. *Early Childhood Education (ECE)*

NEP 2009 states that the concept of the “Katchi” class has been in vogue for years. Although it is not formally recognized yet it holds great importance because it familiarizes a child with formal education at the tender age.

Annexure A of the policy says that there was an exponential rise in the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) for Early Childhood Education (ECE). It improved from 36% (of all children aged 3-4 years) in 2001-02 to 91% in 2005-06 and 99% in 2007-08. It is also stated in the same paragraph that the EFA mid-term targets for ECE were met (p.65). This claim stands doubtful when the NEP 2017 highlights the non-availability of trained teachers and the absence of basic facilities as two of the many issues with ECE in Pakistan. The NEP 2009 itself says that the policy didn’t produce desired goals and the performance was low which led to the formation of a new policy in 2009 (p 07).

Although both policies emphasize the training of ECE teachers, holistic development of children, and allocation of a good amount for the development of ECE in Pakistan they are different with respect to the use of ICT, teacher-student ratio in an ECE class, and research required in the field for concrete and science-driven reforms in the sector. These variant areas are only highlighted in NEP 2017 and there isn’t any clue of them in NEP 2009.
2. Elementary/Primary Education

Primary Education is “the bedrock” of the education system for any country (Pakistan). In order to strengthen this bedrock, a number of plans were suggested in the NEP 2009 such as Apna Ghar residential schools, food incentives for children, and allocation of a permanent and traceable academic career ID to students. However, none of the incentives seemed so realistic given the funds allocation by the governments and the computerized systems the education departments have in all provinces.

Although both the NEP 2009 and the NEP 2017 have highlighted low enrolment, high dropout, and rote memorization as the key issues with primary education in Pakistan only the NEP 2017 emphasizes revamping the teacher training, decreasing teachers’ absenteeism, allocation of 40-45% of the education budget and alternatives to deal with the issue of drop-outs and labor class, etc.

Moreover, for the very first time in the history of educational policy in Pakistan, a comprehensive chapter was included on Deeni Madaris (religious schools) in NEP 2017. It mentioned the existence of 32, 272 Madaris in the country which follow the national primary curriculum along with providing deeni taleem (religious education) (p46).

3. Secondary and Higher Secondary Education

Secondary education consists of three levels: The middle level covers classes five to eight, the High school level is nine to ten and the Higher Secondary level includes first-year and inter classes. Education at these levels is important in the sense that it prepares young people for the labor market, profession, and higher education and equips them with life skills (Pakistan).

One major issue both policies highlight is the narrow (academic) base that results in problems for policymakers, teachers, and students themselves. The students get puzzled in universities when they see a number of departments because of low exposure and narrow (academic) base. Another similarity of these documents is the mention of the establishment of career counseling centers to guide the tender youth. However, the situation on the ground is that this practice doesn’t exist, in fact, very few schools provide career counseling sessions and there is no concept of the presence of career counselors in any school in Pakistan (Yaqoob, Arif, Samad and Iqbal).
However, NEP 2017 has brought to light the Gender parity difference existing in the system. Although a number of interventions have been planned and executed to reduce the gap, the Gender Parity Index (GPI) of 0.80 in secondary education in Pakistan (p.55) demands continuity of determination regarding this. One solution to deal with this is the provision of financial incentives to female students.

Besides, the NEP 2009 states that a ranking system will be evolved in order to evaluate the performance and outcomes of the primary and secondary educational institutions. Whereas, the reports of an NGO named Alif Ailaan are the most trusted ones.

4. Higher Education

Higher Education is key to the social, moral, technological, and economic development of any country. A country cannot compete in the world of the knowledge-driven economy without promoting higher education. It also helps to foster a sense of religious tolerance, social cohesion, and civic responsibility. In other words, higher education provides leadership for all fields of life. For this purpose institution of Higher Education Commission (HEC) was established to serve as the final authority for policy matters, planning, funding, and other higher education-related matters.

Both policies consider distance education through television as an important tool to tackle illiteracy among working people and cover the rural population (p 59 and p82 respectively). However, the current situation of televised programs doesn’t reflect any implementation of this.

Moreover, NEP 2009 indicates the establishment of university campuses in second and third-tier cities of the country (p59). Whereas, the NEP 2017 still mentions that the university campuses are in urban settings which is making access limited for people of villages and underdeveloped areas (p78). This shows that in a long duration of seven years i.e. from 2009 and 2017 concrete implementation was not executed. Hence in NEP 2017, the establishment of 72 new campuses in underdeveloped areas and at least one university in every district was stated (p82). Given the previous experience, even this target seemed rather unrealistic and unachievable.
Conclusion

The Educational Policy of 2009 is very generic and talks about the sectors quite superficially whereas the Education Policy of 2017 is detailed, factual, and research-based as it includes a wide array of topics related to a particular area of education. NEP 2009 mainly throws light on the background of the different levels of education and talks about policy actions. On the contrary, NEP 2017 is much more comprehensive in that it shows the significance of educational level, legislation done in this regard, objectives of education on that level, current challenges, policy provisions, and how they could be put into practice. So, it teems with details with respect to the current situation and what could be done from now onwards. Moreover, the similarities and differences section reveals that a number of policies were made to reform the sector but the majority of them were unrealistic and non-futuristic. The ground realities pertaining to finance, professional culture, and social factors were not considered. Besides, future needs were also not anticipated. Although NEP 2017 is quite a much more inclusive document it requires great effort from stakeholders to materialize it.
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Bununla belə, bir çox əsas realitlər nəzərə alınmalıdır və siyasətin həyata keçirilməsi üçün mərkəzi tərəfərdən ciddi səyərə tələb olunacaq.
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Обзор национальной политики Пакистана в области образования 2009 и 2017 годов: сходства и различия между ДО и высшим образованием
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Образование необходимо для социально-экономического прогресса в современном мире. Однако ни одна система образования не сможет дать желаемых результатов без продуманной образовательной политики. Аналогичным образом, Пакистан экспериментировал с множеством образовательных политик, но определенные проблемы с системой образования сохраняются на протяжении многих лет. Целью данного документа является критический анализ Национальной политики в области образования 2009 года и Национальной политики в области образования 2017 года, чтобы проанализировать сходства и различия между ними в отношении начального, среднего, высшего среднего и высшего образования.

Результаты показывают, что Национальная политика в области образования 2017 года является гораздо более комплексной по своему подходу и содержит подробный план для всех уровней образования по сравнению с Национальной политикой в области образования 2009 года. Однако необходимо учитывать многие реалии, и от заинтересованных сторон потребуются кропотливые усилия для реализации этой политики.
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