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Introduction

Organizations have to be transformative, functional and dynamic in order to achieve their future goals in high competition conditions, to sustain their existence and to gain competitive advantage in the postmodern period in which rapid changes in the economic, social and political arena are experienced. In this context a driving force which gain momentum and actuates the human factor that constitutes the touchstone of organizations is requirement and mandatory. This power according
to the requirements of the time responsible for the functioning of the organization is leaders in executive positions. The concept of leadership, which is an important factor in the success of organizations that are constantly changing and developing, is a spontaneous behavior that influences the employees of the organization, that leads them to behavior and that consists of abstract elements such as courage, knowledge, intelligence and analytical thinking ability. It is inevitable that the leaders who direct the employees of the organization to certain targets simultaneously interact on the people. As a result of the behavioral characteristics of the leader, the individuals develop positive or negative perceptions and attitudes about the work, the manager and the management. At this point, the concept of organizational justice comes to the contrary whereby people refer to individual behavior within the organization. Individual behaviors influence the social and psychological environment of the organization and serve to achieve organizational goals. In general, it is expected that the sense of justice will be high in an organization where the rules are applied in an impartial manner and the damage of those who are injured because of inequality and discrimination is met. Individuals working in organizations with high perception of organizational justice which is considered as the basic evaluation tool of moral behavior, show positive and beyond-role behaviors towards the manager and his colleagues by feeding positive feelings towards the organization. Named as the concept of interorganizational citizenship behavior includes behaviors that are not shown in formal job descriptions but which are entirely at the initiative of the person, in the interest of the organization and which cannot be compensated by the organization which is also the desired organizational synergy. When examined nationally and internationally literature, there are studies relating to leadership behavior characteristics, organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior concepts, that addressing effects on attitudes and behaviors in different sectors and different variables. The research initiated with this action is aimed at examining whether or not there is an effect of mediation between the relationship between organizational justice variable and interorganizational citizenship behavior change. The study was also conducted on blue-collar workers in a public hospital affiliated to the Public Hospitals Association. In the literature; there are not enough field studies in terms of sample group and sector to be covered. In this context, the research has contributed to the limited work done so far.

Methodology

The universe of the study consists of blue-collar employees at Bandırma State Hospital (N=400 staff). The questionnaire consisting of questions about revealing the relationship between variables was selected by easily sampling method and applied to 285 blue-collar employees with different titles from Bandırma State Hospital personnel. Measuring tools used in this study are:
"Organizational Justice Perception Scale", "Leadership Behavior Scale" and "Interorganizational Citizenship Behavior Scale".

The research is limited to these three structures. The research model is shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1. Research Model

In analyzing the data, the validity and reliability of the scores obtained from the three measurement tools used in the study were analyzed first and then the mediation model was tested. Confirmatory Factor Analysis was performed using LISREL software version 8.80 to examine the construct validity of the scores obtained from the scales used in the study (Jöreskog and Sörbom, 2006). In the Confirmatory Factor Analysis, the recommended Polychoric correlation matrix for the analysis of substance-level data was constructed and structural validity was examined using the Diagonally Weighted Least Squares estimation method (Saçkes, 2016). The reliability of the scores obtained from the measurement tools was examined by calculating the Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficient. In the testing of the mediation effect, regression-based four-step approach proposed by Baron and Kenny (1986) was followed the two-stage form adapted for Structural Equation Modelling method (Baron and Kenny, 1986; Gunzler, Chen, Wu and Zhang, 2013).

Results

Confirmatory factor analysis for the organizational justice perception scale showed that the model with four factors and a total of 20 items had acceptable adaptation indices and that the data met the model ($\chi^2=250.23$, df=164, $p=0.001$;
RMSEA=0.043 % 90 GA=0.032-0.053; GFI=0.99; CFI=1.00, NNFI=1.00). It is observed that the factor loadings are statistically significant and vary between 0.43 and 0.95. The internal consistency coefficient calculated for the subscale which process justice organizational justice scale $\alpha = 0.93$, internal consistency coefficient calculated for distributive justice $\alpha = 0.84$, internal consistency coefficient calculated for interpersonal justice $\alpha = 0.81$, internal consistency coefficient calculated for informational justice $\alpha = 0.92$, The coefficient calculated for the whole scale is $\alpha = 0.96$. At the result of Confirmatory factor analysis that is made for the organizational leadership scale showed that the model with three factors and a total of 20 items had high compliance indices and that the data corresponded with the model. ($\chi^2=184.68, df=224, p=0.97; \text{RMSEA}=0.006 % 90\ \text{GA}=0.00-0.02; \text{GFI}=0.99; \text{CFI}=1.00, \text{NNFI}=1.00$). The factor loadings are statistically significant and vary between 0.66 and 0.93. The internal consistency of the calculation for the subscale that employee based leadership of organizational leadership scale $\alpha = 0.97$, the internal consistency of the calculation for the task $\alpha = 0.94$, and the internal consistency of the calculation for the change $\alpha = 0.95$ and the coefficient calculated for the whole scale of $\alpha$ is $= 0.98$. At the result of Confirmatory factor analysis that is made for the interorganizational citizenship scale showed that the model consisted of four factors and a total of 20 items had high compliance indices and that the data corresponded with the model ($\chi^2=184.68, df=224, p=0.97; \text{RMSEA}=0.00; \text{GFI}=0.99; \text{CFI}=1.00, \text{NNFI}=1.00$). It is observed that the factor loadings are statistically significant and vary between 0.46 and 0.94. The internal consistency coefficient calculated for the interagency cooperation which is the subscale of the interorganizational citizenship scale, is $\alpha = 0.94$, Internal consistency coefficient calculated for sub-scale of information sharing between organizations $\alpha= 0.86$, the internal consistency coefficient calculated for gentleman subscale interorganizational $\alpha = 0.88$ and the internal consistency coefficient calculated for the interorganizational compliance subscale $\alpha = 0.87$, the coefficient calculated for the whole scale is $\alpha = 0.96$. In order to test the research hypotheses presented in Figure 1, it was examined whether or not there is a mediation effect of the variable of leadership behavior between the organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship variables. In the first stage, it was tested whether organizational justice variable influenced the variable of interorganizational citizenship behavior. In the second stage, it was examined whether organizational justice variable is the mediating effect of the variable of leadership behavior on the influence of interorganizational citizenship behavior.

The results of the structural equation modelling analysis conducted for the purpose test whether the organizational justice variable influences the variable of interorganizational citizenship behavior; show that the model has admissibility compliance values ($\chi^2=73.45, df=19, p<.001; \text{RMSEA}=0.10, % 90\ \text{GA}=0.077-0.13; \text{GFI}=0.86; \text{CFI}=0.98, \text{NNFI}=0.98$). The results show that the direct effect of organizational justice variable on interorganizational citizenship behavior variable
is statistically significant, moderate and positive. ($\beta=0.70$, $t=8.41$, $p<0.01$). In the second stage, these findings reveal that organizational justice variable can be examined whether there is a mediation effect of organizational leadership behavior variable on the influence of interorganizational citizenship behavior variable. The results of the analysis for examining whether the organizational justice variable is the mediating effect of the organizational leadership behavior variable on the influence of the interorganizational citizenship behavior variable on the variables have showed that the model had acceptable admissibility values ($\chi^2=116.26$, $df=41$, $p<.001$; RMSEA=0.080, % 90 GA=0.063-0.098; GFI=0.86; CFI=0.98, NNFI=0.98). The results show that organizational justice variable has statistically significant, moderate and positive effect on interorganizational citizenship behavior change ($\beta=0.68$, $t=8.67$, $p<0.01$) and it also shows that statistically significant, moderate and positive effect on organizational leadership behavior variable ($\beta=0.31$, $t=3.21$, $p<0.01$). For all that, it has been found that the effect of the organizational leadership behavior variable on the interorganizational citizenship behavior variable was not statistically significant ($\beta=0.07$, $t=0.79$, $p>0.05$). Findings have shown that organizational leadership variable does not play a mediation role between organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior variable. In Figure 2 and Figure 3, path coefficients, factor loads and related t-values for the model of the mediation are presented.
Discussion and Conclusion

This research on blue-collar workers at Bandırma State Hospital affiliated to the Balıkesir Provincial Public Hospitals Association aims to examine whether there is a mediation effect of organizational leadership behavior variable between organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior. These concepts that have been investigated were studied in different fields and different variables in the field literature, but the study on the effects of these three variables on attitudes and behaviors was given. Because of the limited fieldwork in terms of sample group and sector examined in the research, this research aims to contribute
to limited studies, to give direction to researches to be done in the future and to offer researchers suggestions. The results obtained in the study synthesized on four hypotheses for this purpose are summarized below. According to the results of the study’s data analysis, “H₁: There is a positive relationship between organizational justice and organizational leadership behavior” which is the 1\textsuperscript{th} hypothesis of the study, was proven. As a result of the research, it was determined that blue-collar employees in Bandırma State Hospital had a statistically significant, moderate and positive relationship on organizational justice perception and organizational leadership behavior. Similar findings were also found in the field literature: Bahçeci and İşik (2014) found that ethical leadership is a significant predictor of organizational justice sub-dimensions, where managers show ethical leadership behavior at the "most of the time" level (Bahçeci & İşik, 2014). Ürkek, Erdiş and Serinkan (2013) found that the perceptions of interorganizational citizenship and organizational justice differed according to their demographic characteristics, while a significant relationship was found between the transformations leadership and interorganizational citizenship and organizational justice (Ürkek, Erdiş & Serinkan, 2013). Yıldırım and Eren (2013) found positive effects on the perceptions of organizational justice in the transformational leadership styles (Yıldırım, & Eren, 2013). Tanrıbil and İşcan (2015) it has been found that there is a meaningful relationship on the same direction between visionary leadership behaviors and organizational commitment and organizational justice perception (Tanrıbil, İşcan, 2015). Akdeniz and Erkuş (2016) among paternalistic leadership, organizational justice and happiness variables were found to have a meaningful relationship between happiness variables (Akdeniz, & Erkuş, 2016). Another conclusion reached in this study is; it is found that the variable of organizational justice is statistically significant, moderate and positive effect on interorganizational citizenship behavior variable. According to the results of the study’s data analysis, “H₂: There is a positive relationship between organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior” which is the 2\textsuperscript{th} hypothesis of the study, was proven. There are overlapping studies in the literature with research findings. Moorman, Niehoff and Organ (1993) as a result of research done in a media company in the United States, they found that procedural justice is a significant predictor of interorganizational citizenship behavior of organizational justice (Moorman, Niehoff and Organ, 1993). Netemeyer, McKee and McMurrian (1997) Netemeyer has found that there is a positive relationship between distributive justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior in their survey with 273 salespeople in the United States (Netemeyer, McKee and McMurrian, 1997). Sert, Gürbüz and Çetin (2013) for Turkey, the universe and its sample; 72 studies published and unpublished in Turkish and English, which were conducted until December of 2012, which examines the relationship between organizational justice and organizational commitment and interorganizational citizenship behavior in Turkey, and 124 studies from overseas studies and 144 studies from individual studies are studies were included in the meta-analysis. In this study, it was
concluded that organizational justice and organizational commitment had a moderately positive relationship between interorganizational citizenship behavior, organizational justice and organizational commitment, and interorganizational citizenship behavior in relation to interorganizational citizenship behaviors were regulatory effects of sector type and intercultural differences (Sert & Gürbüz & Çetin, 2013). Oral and Koparal (2012) they found that there was a positive relationship between organizational justice perception and interorganizational citizenship behavior (Oral & Koparal, 2012). Berber and Erkmen (2010) it was concluded that there was a meaningful relationship between organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior and Organizational justice did not differ according to age, gender, education status, total seniority and positions of employees and interorganizational citizenship behavior did not differ according to age, gender, education status, total seniority and positions of employees (Berber & Erkmen, 2010). Kuzucu and Bakoğlu (2013) the findings of the research conducted by 145 employees of a hospital in Istanbul proved that there is a positive and strong relationship between organizational justice perception and interorganizational citizenship behavior and it has been found that the interaction of leader members in the relationship between organizational justice perception and interorganizational citizenship behavior takes the role of full mediation (Kuzucu & Bakoğlu, 2013). Another consequence of the research on blue collar employees working at Bandırma State Hospital is that the effect of organizational leadership behavior variable on interorganizational citizenship behavior is not statistically significant and that organizational leadership variables do not play a mediating role between organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior.

In this context, according to the results of the study’s data analysis, “H₃: There is a positive relationship between organizational leadership behavior and interorganizational citizenship behavior” and which is the 3rd hypothesis of the study, “H₄: Organizational leadership behavior mediates the relationship between organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior” which is the 4th hypothesis of the study, was not proven. The findings compiled by considering the field literature are as follows: Samsun and İlsev (2016) it has been found that there is a positive relationships between paternalistic leadership and team performance and collective citizenship behavior, that work enthusiasm is the mediating effect between paternalistic leadership and team performance, but not mediating the relationship between paternalistic leadership and collective citizenship behavior (Samsun & İlsev, 2016). Ağca and Döven (2014) of leadership behaviors that impact positively on interorganizational citizenship behavior, but only production-oriented leadership behavior subscales of leadership behavior has been demonstrated to have an impact on interorganizational citizenship behavior (Ağca & Döven, 2014). Sofuoğlu, Çiçek and Özyılmaz (2010) there is not a meaningful relationship between servant leadership and interorganizational citizenship sub-dimensions, there is a positive and meaningful relationship between organizational
climate cooperation and adaptation dimension and interorganizational citizenship behavior, and negative and meaningful relationship with other sub-dimensions such as altruism, conscience and civic virtue dimensions and also the relationship between altruistic thinking and the external job satisfaction has reached the conclusion that the organizational climate is partly mediated by the managerial competence and coherence sub-dimension (Sofuoğlu & Özyılmaz, 2010).

Belenkuyu, Yücel and Alpay (2015) the aim of the research which is a meta-analysis study is to demonstrate interorganizational citizenship behavior outcomes and their effects on leadership styles. In the study, 17976 people were reached using 49 surveys. As a result of the study, it was determined that interorganizational citizenship behaviors had a moderate effect on organizational justice, job satisfaction and leadership perception, and low effect on organizational commitment (Belenkuyu, Yücel & Aypay, 2015).

Akyüz and Zehir (2012) they conducted a study with 400 teachers working in private high schools in the Marmara Region of Istanbul, Kocaeli and Sakarya in the education sector on the effects of servant leadership behaviors on organizational justice, interorganizational citizenship behaviors and performance. In this study, it has been found that organizational justice has a temporary variable effect on servant leadership behavior in terms of interorganizational citizenship behavior and employee performance (Akyüz & Zehir, 2012).

Dalgın and Taslak (2015) as a result of researching middle and lower level managers in five star hotel companies operating in the Marmaris and Bodrum regions, they found that the distributional justice dimension of managers was low.

In addition, the tendency to exhibit interorganizational citizenship behaviors of benevolence and courtesy is higher than that of interorganizational citizenship behaviors, whereas organizational justice dimensions are more powerful than interorganizational citizenship behaviors, organizational justice perceptions of leadership practices, interorganizational citizenship behaviors. In particular that the perceptions of procedural justice, the ability to act and model to influence interorganizational citizenship behavior with leadership practices at the highest level they have demonstrated at the end of the study (Dalgın, & Taslak, 2015). As a result, the research was conducted on blue-collar workers in a healthcare organization of Public Hospitals Association. In the organization, vertical hierarchy from up to bottom is dominant and organizational functions, in the culture of the organization dominated by intense hierarchy, are carried out by managers in the position of leader. The managers who are unique decision makers show autocratic leader behaviors focusing on the work rather than human. This situation creates a hidden culture of fear on the blue-collar workers working as contracted-worker. Thus, this cannot trigger the mechanism which routed workers to extra role behaviors except of the job definitions. Because the research is limited to the field made in the public sector, it only includes the evaluations of the health sector. Within the scope of this research, organizational justice, interorganizational
citizenship behaviors and organizational leadership behaviors are examined and at should be taken into consideration that the study is limited with these three structures. However health institutions are service-based social organizations with protective, therapeutic, compassionate and educational functions.

The complex structure of its functioning necessitates the need for a professional management that is more labor-intensive than the human factor in other sectors. The desired harmony in the organization and ensuring synergy is possible only through leaders in executive positions. Therefore, the presence innovative leaders, who exhibit human-focused approaches and can masterfully harmonize different thought structures, is a necessity for institutions.

**Recommendations**

In this study, which investigates the mediating effect of perceived leadership behavior between organizational justice and interorganizational citizenship behavior, organizational justice transformation process justice, distributive justice, interpersonal justice and information justice sub dimensions and leadership behaviors have been tried to be explained in terms of occupationally focused leadership, task oriented leadership and change oriented leadership sub dimensions in defining the factors that motivate organizational employees to interorganizational citizenship behaviors. Based on the findings reached by the study results, study the same sample moderation and style of leadership behavior on the sector (regulatory) to examine the effect it is suggested to researchers. In this study, blue-collar workers working at Bandırma State Hospital were handled about their current justice perceptions and leadership behaviors perception and their mediating effect with sub dimensions. This study may be repeated again by expanding their desired leadership behaviors towards the detection of the same corporate employees. It is recommended that the research variables included in this study be constantly researched in different sectors and samples and diversified and investigated by establishing model proposals that include demographic characteristics with different variables affecting interorganizational citizenship behaviors. The general view is that comprehensive work done holistically will make it possible to assess interorganizational citizenship behavior in a broader perspective.
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Данное исследование направлено на изучение опосредованного влияния восприятия стиля лидерства «синих воротничков» в медицинских учреждениях в отношении организационной справедливости и межорганизационного гражданского поведения. Тип исследования – кросс-секционное, использовалась методика анкетирования. В результате исследования было обнаружено, что у сотрудников «синих воротничков» в государственной больнице города Бандырма имеется статистически значимая, умеренная и положительная взаимосвязь между восприятием организационной справедливости и поведением организационного лидерства, что переменная организационной справедливости имела статистически значимое, умеренное и положительное влияние на изменение межорганизационного гражданского поведения, было обнаружено, что влияние переменной лидерского поведения на переменную межорганизационного гражданского поведения не является статистически значимым и что переменная организационного лидерства не берет на себя посредническую роль между переменными организационной справедливости и межорганизационного гражданского поведения.

Ключевые слова: Организационная справедливость; межорганизационное гражданское поведение; тип лидерства; «синие воротнички».