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I. Introduction

In increasing the competitiveness of universities in Indonesia, it is challenged to be the best to produce quality graduates and innovative products of research and community services to improve welfare and economic growth. The Total Quality Management (TQM) approach (Ganguly, 2015) has made inroads into Higher Education Institutions (HEI) in many developed countries (Ganguly, 2015), so that demands for improving the quality of higher education should start from institutionalized internal quality assurance and External Quality Assurance designated by the government, through the Internal Quality Assurance System (SPMI) and the External Quality Assurance System (SPME).
Harvey (in Ivana et al, 2013) and Nuryana (2012) discussed the importance of quality assurance in education, that quality universities can prove to be good service providers for their customers, stakeholders, including students, communities and others to make management of higher education oriented to the satisfaction of its stakeholders. A credible, acceptable and successful educational institution is in both its output and outcome if a tertiary institution is able to prepare a good quality assurance system (Nuryana, 2012). Tilaar (2008) states, "The quality of PT's main work processes relates to quality: learning, research, service, and management. In developed countries, higher education institutions have a big push to prove that they have met the required quality standards (Fitzli, 2006). The purpose of the education system in Sweden was to promote justice (Fredriksson et al., 2009).

Leadership and the Quality Assurance System largely determine the competitiveness of higher education. The ability of the rector is "to encourage Higher Education personnel is to be able to make a positive contribution to the realization of Total Quality Management (TQM) in Higher Education". A leader certainly does not want the low performance of his subordinates, so it needs to be empowered. At the same time, higher education must be able to provide quality product results. The higher education product is primarily in the form of services.

Related to the competition of Private Universities (PTS), that the problems of the PTS Quality Assurance System in North Sumatra Province have not been able to face competition are the main focus in this study. To produce a PTS quality assurance system design that is capable of having competitiveness, this study will identify the factors that influence the quality assurance system to face competition from private universities in Medan.

**Dependent Variable: Competition Strategy**

The competitive strategy is a combination between the final goal that the company wants to achieve with the tools (policies) where the company tries to get there. The purpose of a competitive strategy for a business unit in an industry is to find a position in the industry positively (Subrahmanyam & Azad, 2019). An appropriate quality management system needs to be developed so that TQM is: a management system that is oriented to customer satisfaction with activities that are pursued once right (right first time), through continuous improvement and motivating employees.
The implementation of a Quality Management System (QMS), and its subsequent certification, is a voluntary process, supported by the motivations, objectives and policies of the organisation (Bravi et al., 2019). The application of quality management in education, (TQE) which is based on management and from the concept of Total Quality Management (TQM) is reduced to a specific strategy (Araújo et al, 2019).

**Independent Variable I: Quality Assurance System**

Educational quality assurance is a concept in the educational quality management. A quality assurance and improvement plan or any other similar scheme is in place. It describes the working standards, formal obligations (such as laws and internal rules) and the set of quality control and improvement actions to prevent and monitor errors, to evaluate quality indicators, and to control as well as to improve quality at each phase of the statistical production process, including the integration of data from multiple data sources (European Statistical System, 2015).

The quality assurance and improvement plan is based on the quality guidelines or both documents are mutually consistent (depending on national circumstances). One of the quality control management models implemented now is PDCA-based quality control management (plan, do, check, and action). The PDCA-based quality control process is in accordance with the total quality management model as a quality control model that is often used in educational institutions or schools. As an aspect of quality assurance universities should have qualified staff to undertake research and also train students admitted on the programmes. The academic staff would be those with the requisite qualification and should measure to the required standards. The staff employed by universities to do research should have opportunities for staff development to improve on their research skills. This would help the staff to improve on their knowledge and skills as regards training students (Musa, 2019).

The vision and mission of tertiary institutions is very basic and becomes the main foothold in the process of implementing quality assurance. Therefore, the attention that needs to be given in its preparation, especially vision, in addition to describing a quality standard to be achieved, also reflects the certainty of its achievement period.
Independent Variable II: Graduate Quality Assurance

The quality of graduates is an indicator of the quality of education so a strategy is needed to develop the quality of graduates and continue to improve the quality of education. The indicator of the quality of education lies in learning achievement or the quality of its graduates, so that the quality of education will not be achieved without the performance of productive and achievement students because students (students) are one of the human resources that determine the quality of education. The quality and relevance of education is reflected in the ability to form the competencies of graduates in order to become productive workers with higher wages.

For university owners and managers, the quality management system is essentially rooted in continuous improvement to strengthen and develop the quality of graduates so that it can be absorbed by agencies and the labor market (Asmawi, 2009), and needs to encourage efforts to increase the qualifications of lecturers with education continued or courses with adequate facilities so that the quality of resources can be improved so that it will automatically encourage improvement in the quality of education in universities (Sofyan, 2006).

Independent Variable III: Innovation Research Quality

Innovation is the ability to apply creativity in order to solve problems and find opportunities. The point of innovation is the ability to do something new and different (The European Union Explained, 2014). Educational innovation boils down to the excellence or quality of education units in providing services to stakeholders. If the quality of learning has been carried out, the quality of service continues to be driven, further improving the quality of research. With our large research funding, it will be freer for lecturers to produce research.

Research-based learning is an indication of a system which uses authentic learning, with problem solving, joint learning (groups), and processes of activities that require direct thinking and action, and the discovery of the results of curiosity, which are based on philosophical constructivism (Arora et al, 2018). Research-based learning is a learning model which is associated with such activities as analyzing, synthesizing, and evaluating, and enables learners and lecturers to improve their assimilation and application of
knowledge. Research-based learning is based on a philosophy of constructivism that includes 4 aspects: learning that builds student understanding, learning by developing prior knowledge, learning that is a process of social interaction and meaningful learning achieved through real experience (Susiani et al., 2018). Rosenshine, in his paper presents 10 research-based principles of instruction, along with suggestion for classroom practice. These principles come from three sources: a) research in cognitive science, b) research on master teachers and c) research on cognitive supports (Rosenshine, 2012). Research-based learning is a multifaceted concept that describes the new face of a learning strategy that links between research and teaching. Practicing a good research-based learning should involve four aspects include: 1) The results of the study should have an impact on the curriculum, 2) methods of teaching and learning must be based on research, 3) learning should use research elements, 4) RBL should be able to develop a context of inclusive research (Yuhendri et al. 2018).

Research on the quality of higher education has been conducted by Biswas (2012), Tarí & Dick (2016), Sylvia Chong (2014). Biswas emphasized that global competition requires quality educators and a model based on Total Quality Management (2012). Some universities in Russia have adapted to global changes to be able to produce the best graduates. Sylvia Chong’s findings in Singaporea conclude that: "The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) emphasizes that the quality of the higher education sector, its definition, assessment and monitoring are not only important for its social and economic welfare but are also important factors for the international position of the education system. . "(Chong, 2014).

Higher education becomes the foundation of development and economic cooperation. The importance of quality assurance of higher education is as a determinant of the quality of National Education. Sharabi reported the importance of management of higher education services, although it did not have a direct impact on the teaching and learning process. Sharabi goes on to say; "Although management in each tertiary institution does not affect the activities of providing direct education (for example learning and teaching), it does affect the support service system (border level). Being an "Easy to use" and "client-focused" organization Higher Education is an important quality dimension in service organizations (Sharabi, 2013).
According to Saiti (2012) accountability and performance evaluation Higher education is a determining factor in higher education in Greece. There are quality standards for each unit from recruitment to graduation standards to make universities have good quality (Saiti, 2012). Saiti further stated: "Quality in schools, in the context of education, is closely related to the development of accountability and performance appraisal systems, such as sitting on an entrance examination (quality control) when applying for further education or for employment in the labor market. A further dimension is the quality of the contract suitability - certain quality standards, set after the agreement, which seek to ensure conformity "(Saiti, 2012). In essence, the issue of quality management in higher education that is still ignored in developing countries will disrupt the national education system and graduates will fail to be able to compete and adapt to regional competitions. The quality of higher education, such as; teaching, research, service, and institutional level approaches have led to debate about the application of quality management tools to tertiary institutions, where the most important challenge above is 'critical rethinking' on quality and improvement and design of quality models in languages that are familiar with the culture of the college high, and who can adapt to the mission of higher education institutions (Wells, 2018).

Recently, the results of researchers on quality management of tertiary institutions in Indonesia are very formal. The Formal Quality Management System is bound to the criteria determined by the institutions that make up the quality management system. So, if the management of an organization wants to adopt the Formal Quality Management System model and wants to get recognition or get an award, management must be able to prove the feasibility of applying the Formal Quality Management System model. Papanthymou & Maria Darra's research (2017) says that quality management can encourage developing countries to improve the quality of their tertiary institutions, because it is important for their survival in an antagonistic environment. In'airat and Amer Hani Al-Kassem (2014) argue that the TQM Method is seen as only the beginning of quality procedures.

Quality management includes those aspects of the overall management function that determine and implement the Company quality policy and quality objectives. Both quality control and quality assurance are parts of quality management (Manghani, 2016). The most important point in quality management is ensuring that higher management is committed and initiated and implemented correctly in the institution's quality policy. Although it
requires quality time and energy to train and communicate with team members, it is very important, because the implementation of quality procedures and affiliated actions to be successful depends on the commitment of the leadership and the willingness of all units to provide the best quality.

**III. Research methods**

Quantitative research design with Explanatory Survey method through research instruments, namely: in the form of a questionnaire given to respondents. Then, university leaders, deans, heads of departments and users (stakeholders) will be the sample of this research. Application of the explanatory survey research method with a quantitative approach through a research instrument in the form of a questionnaire is given to respondents.

Explanatory Survey is often used before a pilot study to determine the concept of what should be measured and how to measure it as well as possible (Reiter, 2017). Explanatory are designed to record a correlational or explanatory approach. In this type of survey, the data is collected by the researcher to explore the causal relationship between two or more variables (Parveen & Showkat, 2017).

Research Location is the Medan Area University Campus, and the time of research in November 2019. Population in this study is totaling 100 respondents from 17 Study Programs at Medan Area University, using Purposive Random Sampling technique. Ethikan (2016) explains that positive sampling is a sampling technique with certain considerations. Then the sample in this study were all leaders and heads of units in tertiary institutions, the Chancellors, vice-chancellors, deans, vice-deans, and heads of study programs.

Data obtained through the distribution of closed questionnaires, each of which revealed the quality assurance system, the Rector's Leadership, the Dean and the Chairperson of the Graduate Study Program Quality and the Rector's Leadership, the Dean and the Chair of the Innovation Research Quality Study Program, in the form of a statement. Each item has 5 answer criteria by giving a score starting from 1,2,3,4, up to 5. Alternative answers starting from; 1. strongly disagree, 2. disagree, 3. Neutral, 4. agree, and 5. strongly agree. Then the raw data is calculated using the Multivariable Regression statistical formula. Multivariate regression analysis is a
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A statistical method that makes it possible to examine the relationship of more than two variables simultaneously (Ghozali in Mansur & Syaputra, 2014). The model of multivariant linear regression is:

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \beta_k X_k \]

Where

- \( Y \) = dependent variable
- \( X_i \) = independent variable \((i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k)\)
- \( \beta_0 \) = intercept
- \( \beta_i \) = regression coefficient \((i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k)\)

Path analysis data analysis technique (path analysis) is the data that has been collected quantitatively analyzed using path analysis. Path analysis is a method used to determine a multivariate nonexperimental data set that fits a particular (a priori) causal model (Strainer, 2005). Besides being described based on the results obtained from processing using path analysis, proceed to the description qualitatively to obtain a clearer picture.

### 3. Research Results

1. **Test Data Validity**

The first step taken is the validity test. The purpose of the validity test is to see the correlation between each construct and the total construct. The validity is seen from the Pearson correlation value which is positively and significantly correlated below 0.05 to the total construct of the variable. The same thing is done on every variable that exists to see the correlation of the construct of each variable to the total construct. Pearson correlation value for each of the variables referred to is smaller than 0.05, so all questions on the Quality Assurance System Variable, Graduates Quality variable, Innovation Research Quality variable and Strategy Variable are declared valid, as in table 1 below.
Table 1: Pearson correlation values for each variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Quality Assurance System</th>
<th>Graduated Quality</th>
<th>Innovation Research Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.829</td>
<td>.813</td>
<td>.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Assurance System</td>
<td>.829</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.880</td>
<td>.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated System</td>
<td>.813</td>
<td>.880</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation Research Quality</td>
<td>.648</td>
<td>.780</td>
<td>.807</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sig. (1-tailed)</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Quality Assurance System</th>
<th>Graduated Quality</th>
<th>Innovation Research Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality Assurance System</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated System</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation Research Quality</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Quality Assurance System</th>
<th>Graduated System</th>
<th>Innovation Research Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Assurance System</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated System</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation Research Quality</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Data Reliability Test
Reliability or absence of instruments can be seen from the Cronbach Alfa values above 0.6.

a. Quality Assurance System Instrument
The Quality Assurance System instrument was declared reliable because its Cronbach Alfa value of 0.946 was greater than 0.6.

b. Graduation Quality
Instrument Quality Graduates are declared reliable because their Cronbach Alfa value is 0.905 greater than 0.6.

c. Quality of Research Innovation
The Innovation Research Quality Instrument is stated to be reliable because its Cronbach Alfa value of 0.937 is greater than 0.6.

d. Strategy
The Strategy Instrument was declared reliable because its Cronbach Alfa value was 0.877 greater than 0.6.
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1. Regression Test

After the data is tested valid and reliable, then the regression test is performed. Regression testing is followed by testing classical assumptions (normality, multicollinearity and heterokedastisitas). The research variables use the Quality Assurance System, Graduates Quality and Innovation Research Quality as an independent variable while the Strategy as the dependent variable, no variables are discarded as explained in table 2.

Table 2: Regression testing followed by testing of classical assumptions (normality, multicollinearity and heterokedastisity).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables Entered/Removed(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: Strategy

4.2. Discussion

The coefficient of determination (R Square) shows that the influence of the three variables, namely the Quality Assurance System, Graduates Quality and Innovation Research Quality together influence the Strategy variable of 0.725 or 72.5% which means there are 27.5% other variables that influence Strategy variables that are not examined, as shown in table 3.
Table 3: Determination coefficient values (R Square)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.851&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>.725</td>
<td>.712</td>
<td>.387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Predictors: (Constant), Innovation Research Quality, Quality Assurance System, Graduated Quality

b. Dependent Variable: Strategy

Besides the influence of the three variables, namely the Quality Assurance System, Quality of Graduates and the Quality of Innovation Research jointly influencing the Strategy variables are also shown as table 4.

Table 4: Table of ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Regression</td>
<td>24.882</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.294</td>
<td>55.303</td>
<td>.000&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>9.448</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34.331</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>.150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Predictors: (Constant), Mutu Riset Inovasi, Sistem Penjaminan Mutu, Mutu Lulusan

b. Dependent Variable: Strategy

Based on table 4 ANOVA, the significant value obtained above obtained 0.000 <0.05, thus the variable Quality Assurance System (X1), Graduates Quality (X2) and Innovation Research Quality (X3) together influence the Strategy variable (Y).

From table 5, coefficient can be explained that the Quality Assurance System (X1) and Graduates' Quality (X2) has a positive effect on Strategy (Y). While the Innovation Research Quality (X3) variable has a negative effect on Strategy (Y), which is -1.157.
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Tabel 5: Coefficient Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Coefficient Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>-.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Assurance System Graduated</td>
<td>.620</td>
<td>.164</td>
<td>.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Innovation Research Quality</td>
<td>.506</td>
<td>.174</td>
<td>.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.138</td>
<td>.119</td>
<td>-.134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Strategi

From Unstandardized Coefficient it can be explained that
a. Every increase of the Quality Assurance System (X1) by 1%, the Strategy (Y) will increase by 0.620.

b. Each increase in Graduates' Quality (X2) by 1%, the Strategy (Y) will increase by 0.506.

c. Every increase in Quality of Research Innovation (X3) by 1%, the Strategy (Y) will increase by -0.138.

So the regression equation is: Y = -0.030 + 0.620 X1 + 0.506 X2 - 0.138 X3
These results indicate the need to increase Innovation Research Quality activities, so that the increase in these activities can show a positive direction.

4. Conclusion

The results of this study can be concluded as follows:
1. The Quality Assurance System Factor strengthens the successful implementation of Quality Management in PTS in Medan, specifically for the University of Medan Area with a coefficient of determination (R Square) of 0.620, this indicates a significant value.

2. Quality Factors Graduates strengthen the successful implementation of Quality Management in PTS in Medan, specifically for the University of Medan Area with a coefficient of determination (R Square) of 0.506, this shows a significant value, but it still needs to be improved right.
3. Quality Factors of Innovation Research strengthen the successful implementation of Quality Management in PTS in Medan specifically for the University of Medan Area with a coefficient of determination (R Square) of -0.138, this shows a value that is negatively correlated, so this factor needs to get attention so that the competition strategy of the college height is increased.

4. Strategies strengthen the successful implementation of Quality Management to face competition in PTS.
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İr. Haniza, MT
Medan Sahə Universiteti, Medan, İndoneziya

Sirmas MUNTHE, ST., MT
Medan Sahə Universiteti, Medan, İndoneziya


Regressiya testi, klassik fəriziyələr (normallıq, çoxöxlüklük və heterokedastisitalar) vasitəsilə araşdırılmağa davam edir. Tədqiqat metodikaları kimi Keyfiyyət Zəmanat Sisteminindən, Məzunların keyfiyyətindən və İnnovasiya tədqiqatlarının keyfiyyətindən müstəqil metodika kimi istifadə edilir.

Müəyyənətən omsaltı (R Square) üç faktor üzrə, yəni keyfiyyətin təminat sisteminin, məzunların keyfiyyət və innovasiya tədqiqat keyfiyyətinin təsirli 0.725 və ya 72.5% olan strateji dəyişikliyinə etdiyi təsir faktoru göstərir ki, bu da 27.5% digər fəralıqların olduğunu müəyyən edir.

ANOVA-ya əsaslanaraq, oldu edilmiş əhəmiyyətlə dəyərindən 0,000 <0,05 olduğu bilinir, buna görə Keyfiyyət Təminat Sistemi (X1), Məzunların keyfiyyəti (X2) və İnnovasiya Tədqiqat keyfiyyəti (X3) edilmiş dəyişikliklərlə birlikdə Stratejiya faktoru (Y) təsir göstərir.
Əldə edilən yetərli dayələrdən eblə izahat vermak olara ki, Keyfiyyət Zəmanət Sistemi (X1) və Məzunların Keyfiyyəti (X2) strategiyaya (Y) müsbət təsir göstərir. İnnovasiya Tədqiqat Keyfiyyəti (X3) dəyişkənləyi Strategiya (Y) -yə mənfi təsir göstərərsə, bu da -1,157-dir və bu, İnnovasiya Tədqiqatı Keyfiyyət dəyişkənləyinin hələ də zəif olduğunu göstərir.

Standartlaşdırılmamış əmsaldan belə izah etmək olara: Keyfiyyət Dəstək Sistemi (X1) 1% artır üçün, Strategiya (Y) 0,620 artıracaqdır. Məzunların keyfiyyətində hər bir artır (X2) 1%, Strategiya (Y) 0.506 artıracaq və İnnovasiya Tədqiqatının keyfiyyətinin (X3) yaxşılaşdırılmasıının əhəmiyyəti 1% -dən çoxdur, çünki Strategiyaya (Y) təsir var, bu da tərs müxtəlifdir.

Bununla da, reqressiya tənliyi $Y = -0.030 + 0.620 \times X1 + 0.506 \times X2 - 0.138 \times X3$-dir.

*Açar sözlər:* Keyfiyyət təmini sistemə, məzunların keyfiyyəti, innovasiya tədqiqat keyfiyyəti, rəqabət strategiyası, universitet
Целью данной статьи является изучение степени свободного и стратегического взаимодействия друг на друга системы обеспечения качества, системы качества выпускников и системы инновационных исследований. Он был применен на различных факультетах и в учебных планах с использованием системы объяснительной методологии с помощью инструментов исследования. При тестировании значения корреляции Пирсона каждой конструкции в соответствии с фактором надежности было обнаружено, что система была значительно ниже 0,05 и значительно коррелировала. Кроме того, надежность методологии Cronbach Alpha Value оказалась выше 0,6 для каждой переменной.

Регрессионный тест продолжает изучаться с использованием классических гипотез (нормальность, многомерность и гетероскедастичность). Система обеспечения качества, качество выпускников и качество инновационных исследований используются в качестве независимых методов исследования. Коэффициент идентификации (Квадрат R) измеряет влияние трех факторов, а именно системы обеспечения качества, на изменчивость стратегии с влиянием 0,725 или 72,5% на качество выпускников и качество инновационных исследований, указывая на 27,5% других различий.
На основании ANOVA полученное значимое значение, как известно, составляет 0,000 <0,05, поэтому система обеспечения качества (X1), качество выпускников (X2) и качество инновационных исследований (X3) вместе с изменениями влияют на фактор стратегии (Y). Полученные достаточные значения могут быть объяснены таким образом, что Система обеспечения качества (X1) и Качество выпускников (X2) оказывают положительное влияние на стратегию (Y). Хотя изменчивость качества исследований в области инноваций (X3) оказывает негативное влияние на стратегию (Y), она также составляет -1 157, что указывает на то, что изменчивость качества исследований в области инноваций все еще остается слабой.

Нестандартизированное соотношение можно объяснить следующим образом: при увеличении системы поддержки качества (X1) на 1% стратегия (Y) увеличится на 0,620. Каждое повышение качества выпускника (X2) будет увеличиваться на 1%, стратегия (Y) увеличится на 0,506, значение составляет более 1%, поскольку оно оказывает влияние на стратегию (Y), которая обратно пропорциональна. Таким образом, уравнение регрессии имеет вид $Y = -0,030 + 0,620 X1 + 0,506 X2 - 0,138 X3$.
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