

# **HEALTH EDUCATION LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT STYLES IN UNIVERSITY LEVEL**

**Johannes SEMBIRING**

*Insitut Kesehatan Deli Husada, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia*

**Saiful BATUBARA**

*Islamic University of Sumatera Utara Medan, Indonesia*

**Selamat GINTING**

*Insitut Kesehatan Deli Husada, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia*

## **Introduction**

Leadership and management styles in health education at university level needs a holistic study to recommend better procedures the teaching process and learning strategies. In the framework of achieving the competency standards of medical doctor graduates in Indonesia, it notes that Indonesian Medicine Council (KKI) has issued the Indonesian Doctor Professional Education Standard (SPPDI). That SPDDI becomes the reference for each institution to develop its curriculum and determine the most suitable learning method for the achievement of competence according to new paradigm of physician education globally known as SPICES paradigm (Student Centered, Problem Based Learning, Integrated, Community Oriented and Systematic) developed by Hardem and widely used in doctoral education since the 1980s (Konsil Kedokteran Indonesia, 2012).

Indonesian Government in 2000 has the implementation of Competency Based Curriculum (KBK) in medicine education. Several health education institutions such as Gajah Mada University, Directorate of Higher Education of Ministry of National Education of Indonesia in 2002 carried out various trainings and coaching in the implementation of Competency Based Curriculum (KBK). All of medicine institutions of Indonesia, this model has begun in 2005. It made sure the standard of competence of medical doctor education graduates in accordance with the national standard (Batubara, 2003). Leadership in health education affected the process of learning upon the material-based curriculum which focuses on the mastery of certain skills. With appropriate leadership, those skills are considered to fulfilling the stakeholders.

Further process the implementation in medical education with student-centered learning and problem-based learning (PBL) was introduced in Indonesia. Leadership of tutors in PBL was so influential; where the learning center is put on the small group learning activities under the supervision of a tutor who acts as a facilitator known as a tutorial activity. Isrokijah (2016) argued that the Problem Based Learning (PBL) presented contextual problem which stimulated the students to learn. In PBL situation, the students integrated knowledge and skills simultaneously and applied them in relevant context. With the PBL worksheets the students conducted meaningful learning. They learned to solve the problem by implementing their knowledge or trying to explore their knowledge they needed. Dolmans et al (2016) stated that in Problem-Based Learning (PBL), worldwide, students learn by discussing professionally pertaining problems enhancing application and integration of knowledge, which is assumed to encourage students towards a deep learning approach in which students are intrinsically interested and try to understand what is being studied. The implementation of two teaching models in health education of Indonesia made the comparative leadership styles needed. Therefore, Harsono (2005) stated that the importance of tutorials as a major part in the process of learning physician education with the Competence Based Curriculum (KBK) is very decisive. This Curriculum has the process of effective tutorial which will guide and trigger students to implement self-learning or group in the form of information collection for synthesis to complete learning objectives. On the other side, in the tutorial process is the heart of Problem Based Learning (PBL).

Based on the above explanation the research was conducted to know the leadership styles of tutorial in forms of democratic, authoritarian, and free style leadership in Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara academic year 2018.

## **Research Method**

The observational analytic and Cross Sectional technique were applied in Faculty of Medicine. The study was conducted to seek the leadership styles of tutorial in forms of democratic, authoritarian, and and free style leadership in Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara academic year 2018. Cross-sectional study design made investigators measured the outcome and the exposure in the study of participants at the same time. The participants' study criteria set for the data collection . Once the participants have been selected for the study, the investigators follow the data collection to assess the exposure and the outcomes (Setia, 2016 and Pandis, 2014).The population in this study were medical students at the Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara. By random sampling it took 150 participants.

## **Results and Discussion**

This study was conducted at the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, located on STM Street of Medan Municipal. Medical Faculty of the Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara has a learning system that is SPICES (Student-centered, Problem based, Integrated, Community-based, SMO, Systematic), where this learning system is a learning system established by DIKTI in 2005. In the implementation, this new educational paradigm implemented with student-centered learning and problem-based learning (PBL), where the main learning is in small group learning activities under the supervision of a tutor who acts as a facilitator known as a tutorial activity. The data was collected on the students of Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara from September to December 2018 with 150 respondents.

This Univariate Analysis counted the Frequency Distribution Style Leadership Tutorial of Health education. Based on the leadership styles of tutorial in Faculty of Medicine Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara revealed the style of tutor leadership based on the Democratic style was (80%), authoritarian leadership style reached (5%) and Free leadership style (15%). Frequency distribution of tutorial effectiveness met 98.4 %. It means that the effectiveness of tutorial effective

### *Bivariate Analysis*

Relationship of tutor skill style with tutorial effectiveness; the result of the analysis of tutor leadership style style with the effectiveness of tutorial based on the students' perception was found that in the democratic leadership style with effective tutorial effectiveness as much as 75 %, authoritarian leadership style

with effective tutorial effectiveness was 7 %, and free style and effective tutorial effectiveness was 18 %.

### **Discussion**

Based on the results of research on the leadership style of tutors conducted in health education in the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara was found the style of democratic leadership obtained (80%), authoritarian leadership style reached (5%) and free leadership style was found (10%). Leadership style with the most percentage of democratic leadership style because of democratic leadership style is one of the preferred style by students, democratic leadership style is a style of leadership that is expected to exist in a facilitator in the PBL tutorial group discussion, democratic leadership style directs the discussion more participatory, constructive, and cooperative in decision making. The atmosphere of the discussion looks ideal and beneficial to the students so that this can be the cause of the students prefer the type of democratic leadership. This result is in line with the theory of Syamsul (2012) which states that the democratic leadership is a style of leadership that tends to prioritize cooperation and teamwork in an effort to achieve goals and also encourage participation of members in decision making. Authoritarian leadership style has the lowest percentage of (5 %).

The authoritarian leadership style is less favored by tutors, where this authoritarian leadership style makes the students less active and tend to be depressed, this result is in line with Robbin's theory and Marry (2007) who states that authoritarian leadership styles tend to centralize authority, dictate working methods, make unilateral decisions and limit member participation. And the free leadership style has (10 %) percentage of this matter because in the discussion process the students are given full right to the opinion without knowing the right opinion or not, the lack of knowledge of students also influences the discussion process so that this leadership style is less desirable by student (Syamsul, 2012; Robbins & Marry, 2007). An effective tutorial deliver learners to a high-order learning environment in a conducive academic environment with collaborative learning between learners and educators including tutors. In addition, effective tutorials can also be identified on the ability to solve problems or tasks by using appropriate and accurate sources of information. All these capabilities will be integrated in the achievement of student learning outcomes measured quantitatively in the form of block or module values and also student achievement index (Akbar & Rahmat, 2014).

The effectiveness of the tutorial need some supportive factors such as high trust about relationships among group members, scenarios or triggers, student interaction, facilities available, and the role of tutor. The role of tutors in PBL is one of the things that attract many researchers, because the role of tutor in the

tutorial is very different from the role of lecturer in general. The role of the tutor in the PBL serves as a facilitator who uses certain skills to help individuals or groups achieve a particular goal. The facilitator is in charge of ensuring that group discussions are effective, either processually or structurally. In addition to acting as a facilitator a tutor must also have the skills for the tutorial to take place effectively. Like the skills to ask, listen, respond, explain, and prepare before following the tutorial discussion. A tutor should also need leadership skills in managing the tutorial process. Leadership of a tutor can be a guide in providing direction for discussion participants (Batubara et al., 2012). The tutors have their own leadership style in the discussion process that affects the effectiveness of the students in dialogues.

Therefore each tutor has a dominant leadership style applied. Tutors usually use democratic and authoritarian leadership styles when leading tutorials. The democratic leadership style is the style expected during the tutorial because a tutor is willing to listen, build group performance, and group-based decision making. For authoritarian leadership itself is an unexpected style because it causes students to feel afraid in their opinions and fears being scolded by tutors. Thus, Mc Kim J, Swanwick T (2010) argued that the style of democratic leadership is an expected in the tutorial discussion. The form of application of a facilitator's democratic leadership style is to direct a more participatory discussion, be willing to listen, mediate dissent, group-based decision making, group performance building, as trainer and develop student skills (Mc Kim & Swanwick, 2010).

Obtained data from Faculty of Medicine Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara has found that the democratic leadership style is preferred; this result is in line with Anggraeni's study (2012). Its result was showing 369 students choose type of democratic leadership, but who choose authoritarian leadership type only 37 students and no students chose the type of free leadership. In line with the writing Maudsley, the facilitator is not authoritarian, because the facilitator must have expertise in facilitating the discussion rather than having the material expertise being discussed. The paper is reinforced by Kartz's writing that the essential task of the facilitator in the PBL tutorial group discussion is to create a democratic leadership atmosphere (Anggraini, 2012). Obtained data also displayed that the effectiveness of tutorial in Democratic style and ineffective tutorial was mostly found in Authoritarian style.

In line with the other research (Mewo et al 2016), the effectiveness of Problem Based Learning tutorial discussion group at Sam Ratulangi University Medical Faculty was suitable with health education. The result of that study showed Democratic leadership style had effective tutorial and only small number which indicated ineffective tutorials (Mewo, 2016). Data analysis and direct observation of this study indicated that the researchers during the tutorial made students

active in executing the course of the tutorial; the more active students did in the tutorial process, which means all students are expressing critical opinions with discussion topics, the better achievement would be found. In contrast, if the tutorial was in the silent condition, the critical opinion was dropped. Thus, the mutual respect for the opinions of fellow in tutorial part, then it makes a path for cooperative and effective learning process. Another thing that can make the tutorial to be effective is from the facilitator side, where the facilitator does not make students feel depressed or afraid to argue.

In line with the theory put forward by Savin B (2003) that effective tutorials are all group members actively participating in the tutorial process, all group members respect each group member's opinions, but remain critical and each member of the group is assisted not to experience personal stress or pressure (Savin-Baden, 2003).

Based on the result of the analysis of tutor leadership style with the effectiveness of tutorial based on student perception, it was found that democratic leadership style with effective tutorial effectiveness (100%), authoritarian leadership style with effective tutorial effectiveness (88,9%) , and effective leadership style and effective tutorial effectiveness of 11 people (84,6%). The result of chi-square statistic shows that  $p = 0.00$  is less than  $\alpha (0,05)$ , so  $H_0$  can be concluded that there is a significant correlation between tutor leadership style and tutorial effectivity based on student's perception of Faculty of Medicine Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara in 2018.

The style of leadership influential in the course of effective tutorials, usually the tutors have their own leadership style in running the discussion process that affects the effectiveness of students in the opinion. Leadership style in accordance with the will of students is that trying to run a more participative discussion, willing to hear, mediate dissent, group-based decision making, building group performance so that the effectiveness of the tutorial can be achieved. It is said that effective tutorial if student actively participate in carrying out the course of the tutorial, critical in expressing opinions or opinions but not out of the main discussion of the tutorial, and mutual respect the opinions of fellow tutorial participants then the roads more cooperative and effective tutorial.

This is in line with the theory put forward by (Schwarz, 2008), where a facilitator is in charge of ensuring that group discussions are effective both processually and structurally. Effectively by the process means groups can work together in achieving certain goals, whereas structurally effective means that each member is able to perform their respective roles so as to create stable learning conditions. Besides this research is also supported by the theory (Akbar, 2014) A tutor must also need leadership skills in managing the tutorial process. Leadership of a tutor can be a guide in providing direction for discussion participants.

## **Conclusion**

The effective tutorial brought the students to a certain learning condition with high order thinking in a conducive academic climate. To reach the effectiveness of tutorial, some supporting factors is needed such as, trustworthy between the member of the group, scenario or trigger, student participation and interaction, facilities available, also the tutor role. On PBL the tutor role as the facilitator is to ensure the group discussion's proceed effectively, progressively as well as structurally. Commonly every tutor has it own leadership while proceeding discussion progress that will take effect to student effectiveness on giving opinion. The results of this study could be a reference to add insight into medical education, especially related to the process of tutorials and tutors as primary education personnel.

**References and notes:**

- Akbar , Anggreni S dan Rahmat RA.,*Panduan Praktis Tutorian Preblem Based Learning. Bandung : Cipta Pustaka.* 2014
- Anggraini S..*Penilaian Mahasiswa dan Pemahaman Fasilitator mengenai Tipe Kepemimpinan Fasilitator.* Tesis. Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Indonesia.2012
- Batubara, et al. *Pendidikan Kedokteran Kontemporer. Medan: Perdana Publishing* *Konsil Kedokteran Indonesia.* 2012. Standar Kompetensi Dokter Indonesia.
- Dolmans, D. H., Loyens, S. M., Marcq, H., & Gijbels, D. *Deep and surface learning in problem-based learning: a review of the literature. Advances in health sciences education,* 2016.21(5), 1087-1112.
- Harsono. *Pembelajaran Berpusat Mahasiswa.* Yogyakarta : Riset.2005.
- Isrokijah, I. *Developing Problem-Based Learning (PBL) Worksheets for the Eight Grade Students at Junior High School. LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching,* 18(2), 99-106.Syamsul A, 2016. Ilmu dan seni Kepemimpinan. Jakarta : Mitra Wacana Media
- Mc Kim J, and Swanwick T.. *Educational leadersip in understanding medical education. Blackwell publishing: John Wiley & Sons.*2010
- Mewo, dkk. *Efektivitas Kelompok Diskusi Tutorial Problem Based Learning di Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Sam Ratulangi. e-Biomedik,* 2016. vol 4 no 1.
- Pandis, N. *Cross-sectional studies. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics,* 2014.146(1), 127-129.
- Robbins S, and Marry C.. *Manajemen Ed VIII. Jakarta: PT Macanan Jaya Cemerlang.*2007
- Savin-Baden, *M.Facilitating problem-based learning.* McGraw-Hill Education (UK).2003.
- Setia, M. S. *Methodology Series Module 3: Cross-sectional Studies.* Indian Journal of Dermatology,2016. 61(3), 261–264. <http://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5154.182410>



## XÜLASƏ

### Universitet səviyyəsində Sağlıq təhsili üzrə Liderlik və İdarəetmə tərzləri

**Johannes Sembiring**  
Kesehatan Deli Husada Universiteti, Sumatera Utara, İndoneziya

**Saiful Batubara**  
Sumatera Utara Medan İslam Universiteti, İndoneziya

**Selamat Ginting**  
Kesehatan Deli Husada Universiteti, Sumatera Utara, İndoneziya

Sağlıq təhsili üçün xüsusi bir liderlik tərzini lazımdır. Bu məqalə sağlıq təhsili ilə bağlı idarəçilik tərzini tətbiq edən daha yüksək nəticəli elmi araşdırmaların aparılmasına görə liderlik tərzlərini araşdırmışdır. 2018-ci ildə İndoneziyanın İslam Sumatra Utara Universitetinin Tibb fakültəsində seksiya araşdırma ilə müşahidə aparıldı. Əldə edilən məlumatlara görə müəyyən edilmişdir ki, Demokratik modeldəki liderlik effektivliyi (80%) , Avtoritar rəhbərlik modeli (5%) və Azadlıq modelinin liderlik effektivliyi (10%) yer tutmuşdur.

Çi-skuvera test nəticəsi vasitəsi ilə əldə edilən məlumatları təhlil edərkən p-dəyərinin 0,001 ( $p < 0,05$ ) olduğu göstərildi. Nəticə etbarı ilə, İndoneziyadakı İslam Sumatra Utara Universitetinin Tibb Fakültəsində Sağlıq Təhsili baxımından Demokratik modelin liderlik tərzinin daha təsirli olduğu qənaətinə gəlinmişdir.

**Açar sözlər:** Liderlik, sağlıq təhsili, idarəçilik, ali təhsil, liderlik modeli

## РЕЗЮМЕ

### Основы лидерства и управления в области медицинского образования в университетах

Йоханнес Сембирнинг

Университет Кесехатан Дели Хусада, Суматра Утара, Индонезия

Сайфул Батубара

Суматра Утара Медан Исламский университет, Индонезия

Селамат Джинтинг

Университет Кесехатан Дели Хусада, Суматра Утара, Индонезия

Медицинское образование требует определенного стиля руководства. В этой статье рассматриваются стили лидерства для проведения более эффективных исследований в области управления медицинским образованием.

В 2018 году на медицинском факультете Исламского университета Суматры в Утаре в Индонезии было проведено секционное исследование. Согласно полученным данным, эффективность лидерства в модели Демократии составляло 80%, в модели авторитарного руководства - 5% и эффективность лидерства модели Либерти - 10%. При анализе данных, полученных в результате теста Чи-скувера, было установлено, что значение  $p$  составляет 0,001 ( $p < 0,05$ ).

В результате делается вывод о том, что демократическая модель лидерства в области медицинского образования на медицинском факультете Университета Ислама Суматра в Утаре в Индонезии является более эффективной.

**Ключевые слова:** Лидерство, медицинского образование, менеджмент, высшее образование, модель лидерства